

Survey Committee:

John Mollenkamp (co-chair) Karen Koch (co-chair) Lyn Goering David Krech Karin Mika Judy Rosenbaum Jean Rosenbluth

#### 

Catherine Seppanen Cicada Consulting

#### ALWD/LWI 2010 Survey Report For More Information Contact:

John Mollenkamp Clinical Professor Cornell Law School 321 Myron Taylor Hall Ithaca, NY 14853-4901 (607) 255-0146

john-mollenkamp@lawschool.cornell.edu

Karen Koch Assistant Professor University of Arkansas School of Law 1045 W. Maple St. Fayetteville, AR 72701 (479) 575-3059 kkoch01@uark.edu

# **2010** National Survey Results

Association of Legal Writing Directors/ Legal Writing Institute

This report of the results of the 2010 ALWD/LWI national survey of legal writing programs includes data about the operation of legal research and writing programs during the 2009-2010 academic year from 191 North American law schools (190 from the United States and 1 from Canada), representing approximately 96% of the law schools eligible to complete the survey.

As in past years, this report is admittedly a somewhat inexact composite picture of many varied, complex, and unique programs. Nevertheless, the survey results show common practices and trends and provide other valuable information about the current state of legal writing education in American law schools.

The survey report also includes data from the 2007, 2008 and 2009 surveys for comparison purposes. Please be aware that some year-to-year variations show real changes in legal writing programs, while others merely reflect changes in the respondent group. Please also be aware that the report includes some recomputation of prior years' reported average values. Finally, please note that this year's report again includes median values for most of the salary data. We have chosen to include median values to more accurately reflect salaries in various categories, since median values are less susceptible to skew based on a few extraordinarily high or low salaries in a category.

Once again, we thank all who participated in this year's survey. Your time and effort are valuable to all of us.

John Mollenkamp and Karen Koch Survey Committee Co-Chairs

• •

2010	National Survey Resultsi
2010	ALWD/LWI Survey Highlightsiii
I. S	ubmitter Profile: Who Answered?1
II.	School Profile: Which Schools Answered?
III.	Staffing Model: Status Questions
IV.	Curriculum: Credits and Coursework7
V.	Upper-Level Writing Courses
VI.	Technology
VII.	Directors
VIII.	Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members61
IX.	LRW Adjunct Faculty
X.	Teaching Assistants
XI.	Survey Use
Appe	ndix AA-1
Appe	ndix BB-1
Appe	ndix CC-1

# 2010 ALWD/LWI Survey Highlights

Prepared by Karen Koch Assistant Professor of Law University of Arkansas School of Law – Fayetteville

#### The 2010 Survey

2010 Respondents: Thanks to the cooperation of program directors and legal writing faculty, the survey this year enjoyed the highest response rate in the twelve-year history of the survey, with 191, or 96.5%, of the schools solicited providing a response. The pool of solicited schools, at 198, was also the largest in the history of the survey, and represented all U.S. AALS Member law schools and AALS Non-Member Fee-Paying schools, as well as the University of Windsor in Ontario Canada, the host of the 2003 ALWD Conference.

Survey Use (Question 100): More respondents than ever reported in 2010 that they have used the survey data in various ways. One hundred twenty-five used it to improve their programs, 78 to improve their status, 75 to improve their salary, and 31 used it for other purposes.

## **Program Structure and Content**

Staffing Models (Question 10): For the 2009-2010 academic year, as in past years, most programs continued to use full-time nontenure-track teachers (79 programs, or 41.3%, of those responding to this question) or a hybrid staffing model (71 respondents, or 37.2%). Twenty programs reported using solely adjuncts (10.9%), twelve programs used solely tenured or tenure-track teachers hired specifically to teach LRW (6.3%) (Question 10a); and another 17 programs used such teachers in hybrid programs (Question 11a).

Assistant Directors (Question 46): Thirty-seven programs reported having assistant directors in 2010, compared to 31 in 2009, 39 in 2008, and 36 in 2007. The average salary for an assistant director reported in 2010 was \$84,266.

Staffing Diversity (Questions 71a and 71b): The majority of LRW faculty teaching LRW for the first time in 2009-2010 were Caucasian (116 of those responding, or 87%), and female (95, or 64%). For those schools that reported on diversity for all current full-time faculty, 763 (or 88%) were Caucasian, with 697 (or 71%) female and 281 (or 29%) male. The responses included 55 African-American faculty (or 6.3%), 18 Hispanic faculty (2.1%), 21 Asian-American faculty (2.4%), 3 Native American faculty (0.3%), 4 Multi-racial faculty (0.5%), and 5 who indicated "other" (or 0.6%)

#### Curriculum (Questions 12-26, 28)

Program Length (Question 12): Virtually all writing programs extend over the first two semesters of the first year, averaging 2.41 credit hours in the fall and 2.30 hours in the spring (comparable to the 2009 averages). Forty-nine programs have classes in the fall of the second year, averaging 2.08 credits. Sixteen programs have classes in the spring of the second year, averaging 2.25 credits. Seven programs have classes in the fall of the third year, averaging 2.57 credits. Five programs have classes in the spring of the third year, averaging 1.80 credits.

Grading (Questions 15,17,23): The vast majority of LRW courses are graded, with grades included in student GPAs (159 programs, or 83%) (Question 15). Most programs grade at least some assignments anonymously (110), but 76 programs do not (Question 17). One hundred and sixty nine programs require rewrites of at least some major assignments, with 54 of those requiring rewrites on all major assignments; 86 programs grade all drafts and rewrites; 78 grade only rewrites; and 8 grade only drafts (Question 23).

Teaching Research (Question 18): The majority of programs integrate research and writing instruction (154 programs). At 77 schools legal research is taught by LRW faculty. At 68 schools both LRW faculty and librarians teach legal research, and at 29 schools teaching assistants and other students also teach research. (Note: Respondents could choose more than one option for this question.)

Collaboration on Legal Writing Assignments Between LRW Faculty and Doctrinal Faculty (Question 22): In the majority of programs (145, or 78% of those responding) legal writing assignments are not coordinated collaboratively with doctrinal faculty. In 36, or 19% of programs, the topics of the assignments are coordinated but the teaching is not. Only 6 programs, or 3%, coordinate both assignment topics and teaching.

Writing Specialists and Academic Support (Question 28): Fifty-eight law schools employed a full-time or part-time writing specialist in 2010 (compared to 50 in 2009, 43 in 2008, and 48 in 2007), and 156 schools offer an academic support program (compared to 142 in 2009, 151 in 2008, and 147 in 2007).

#### **Common Practices (Questions 20-27, 40-43):**

Assignments (Question 20): The most common reported writing assignments continue to be office memoranda (187), appellate briefs (149), pretrial briefs (105), and client letters (99). At the same time, the increase in the use of "other writing assignments" continues to rise (114 in 2010 up from 37 in 2007). The most common oral exercises were appellate arguments (138), in-class presentations (85), and pretrial motion arguments (78).

Variability Among Sections within Programs (Question 26): A slow upward trend in the number of programs reporting less uniformity among sections appears to be reversed in all but four sections of this question: required textbook (56 varied among sections in 2007 and 67 in 2010); choice of citation text (5 varied among sections in 2007 and 14 in 2010);

content of class lecture (101 varied among sections in 2007 and 108 in 2010); and number of minor assignments (64 varied among sections in 2007 and 69 in 2010). Programs were either uniform or generally consistent on the categories of syllabus coverage, number of major assignments, due dates and length of most assignments, and grading.

Commenting (Question 24): The most common reported methods of commenting on papers were still comments written on the paper itself (186) and comments during conferences (175). Short comments at the end of the paper (169) remained the third most common choice, followed by a general feedback memo to all students (156). The use of "other" feedback methods such as oral feedback, audio comments, general feedback in class, and peer review continues to rise steadily (40 in 2010, up from 27 in 2007).

Teaching Activities (Question 21): The most common reported teaching activities (and the average amount of time spent in each activity) were lecture (176 programs; 31.65% of teaching time), questions and answers and class discussion (175; 23.40% of teaching time), group in-class exercises (172; 16.63% of teaching time), demonstrations (162; 11.30% of teaching time), individual in-class exercises (162; 10.31% of teaching time), and in-class writing (153; 8.56% of teaching time).

#### Use of Technology (Questions 40-43):

Program and faculty web page use was up slightly in 2010; 69 programs had web pages and in 42 programs at least one faculty member had a web page; 76 had no web pages (Question 42). Class email or listserv use continued to be popular; in 121 programs all faculty used them and in 50 most or some used them, with an average effectiveness rating of 4.48 out of a possible 5. In 30 programs all faculty used course web pages and in 57 most or some used them, with a 3.96 effectiveness rating. The number of programs where all faculty used web course utility programs increased, with 103 programs using them in 2010 compared to 84 in 2009. The number of programs where most or some of the faculty used web course utility programs stayed the same at 76. The average effectiveness rating for web course utility products was 4.24. The use of electronic "smart" classrooms increased slightly; all faculty used smart classrooms in 54 programs compared to 49 in 2009. The number of programs compared to 81 in 2009. The average effectiveness rating for smart classrooms was 4.12.

Citation Manual (Question 27): There was a continued shift from the use of the ALWD Citation Manual to the Bluebook. At the time of the survey, 29 programs planned to teach the ALWD Citation Manual only (compared to 31 in 2009, 40 in 2008, and 46 in 2007). 124 programs planned to teach the Bluebook only (compared to 104 in 2009, 108 in 2008, and 102 in 2007). Thirteen planned to teach both, and 12 planned to leave the choice up to each teacher.

#### **Terms and Conditions of Employment**

#### Salary Highlights:

Directors' Salaries (averages, Questions 3, 4, 5, 49): The average director's salary in 2010 was \$103,540, which was an increase from \$102,116 in 2009. This continues an upward trend since 2001 (Question 49). The average experience of directors in 2010

increased slightly this year, with the average director having graduated from law school 22.6 years ago (compared to 21.8 in 2009), taught in law school for 14.1 years (compared to 13.9 in 2009), and directed at his or her current law school for 9.0 years (compared to 8.5 in 2009) (Questions 3, 4, 5).

Regional Differences for Directors (chart following Question 49): The average salary of directors in 2010 was highest in the New York City & Long Island region (\$154,443 - 5 schools), followed by the Far West region (\$113,994 - 22 schools), Northeastern (\$111,839 - 13 schools), Great Lakes/Upper Midwest region (\$108,116 - 24 schools), Northwest & Great Plains region (\$97,445 - 6 schools), Southwest & South Central region (\$93,800 - 20 schools), and Southeast region (\$92,763 - 16 schools). The average salary increased by both greatest percentage and greatest dollar amount in the Great Lakes/Upper Midwest region (3.9%, an increase of \$4,047 from \$104,069 in 2009 to \$108,116 in 2010.) Two regions saw a decrease in the average salary, with the Southeast region decreasing by \$4,714 (4.8%) and the Northwest & Great Plains decreasing by \$4,288 (4.2%).

LRW Faculty Full-Time Salaries: (averages, excluding directors; Question 75): The overall average LRW faculty salary increased in 2010, continuing the trend since 2001.

- 2010: From an average low of \$64,642 to an average high of \$77,945, with an overall average of \$71,294.
- 2009: From an average low of \$63,275 to an average high of \$78,040, with an overall average of \$70,657.
- 2008: From an average low of \$60,140 to an average high of \$72,465, with an overall average of \$66,302.
- 2007: From an average low of \$57,420 to an average high of \$70,862, with an overall average of \$63,313.
- 2006: From an average low of \$54,015 to an average high of \$65,321, with an overall average of \$59,668.
- 2005: From an average low of \$51,587 to an average high of \$61,641, with an overall average of \$56,579.
- 2004: From an average low of \$49,419 to an average high of \$59,395, with an overall average of \$53,752.
- 2003: From an average low of \$48,931 to an average high of \$60,198.
- 2002: From an average low of \$47,741 to an average high of \$54,316.
- 2001: From an average low of \$44,011 to an average high of \$53,012.

In addition to regular salaries, LRW faculty at 63% of schools responding were also eligible for summer research grants in an average amount of \$8,586 (Question 76).

Regional Differences for Salaries for LRW Faculty (excluding directors; chart following Question 75): The average LRW faculty salary was highest in the Far West (\$77,290 - 21 schools), followed by the Southeast (\$75,092 - 13 schools), the Mid-Atlantic (\$74,062 - 20 schools), the Northwest & Great Plains (\$73,167 - 3 schools), Southwest & South Central (\$69,545 - 19 schools), Great Lakes/Upper Midwest (\$68,756 - 26 schools), Northeastern (\$67,732 - 11 schools), and New York City and Long Island (\$55,000 - 1 school). Two regions saw an increase of \$5,000 or more in the average LRW faculty salary for 2010 (Southwest and South Central - \$5,097 and Northwest & Great Plains - \$8,792). At the same time, one region saw a decrease of \$5,000 or more (Southeast - \$5,308.00).

#### **Other Variables Related to Salaries:**

Directors – Years Since Earning a JD and Years Teaching (Questions 3, 4, and tables following Question 49): Salaries for directors increase as the directors have more experience, with an average salary of \$82,167 for directors who earned their JD 6-10 years ago to an average of \$122,137 for directors who earned their degrees more than 26 years ago. The average director's salary for those with 0-5 years teaching experience is \$87,885, while the average for those with more than 26 years of teaching experience is \$137,647.

LRW Faculty – Teaching Experience (Question 74): Entry-level salaries for LRW faculty increase with teaching experience, and continued to increase for faculty at all levels except for those with greater than 3 years of experience, where there was a very slight decrease. In 2010 the average entry-level salary was 60,145 for LRW faculty with no teaching experience (compared to 59,653 in 2009), and 64,378 for LRW faculty with 1-3 years teaching experience (compared to 63,371 in 2009). For faculty with >3 years experience the average salary in 2010 was 67,256 (compared to 67,919 in 2009).

Setting (Questions 7, 49, 75, and tables following Questions 49, 75): In 2010, directors in urban areas had the highest average salary (\$109,847) and directors in suburban areas had the lowest average salary (\$96,043). However, LRW faculty average salaries were highest in rural settings (\$72,368) and very similar in the urban and suburban areas (\$71,091 for urban areas and \$71,454 for suburban areas).

Institution Type (Questions 8, 49, 75, and tables following Questions 49, 75): In 2010, directors at private law schools had a higher average salary (\$108,949) than directors at public law schools (\$101,916). Similarly, LRW faculty at private institutions had a higher salary (\$72,368) than LRW faculty at public institutions (\$70,040).

Staffing Models (Questions 10, 49, 75, and tables following 49 and 75): In 2010, directors in the 51 complex hybrid models had the highest average salary (\$110,985), followed by directors in the 2 programs with part-time faculty (\$109,000). Directors in the 16 programs with adjuncts had an average salary of \$107,955, followed by directors in the 8 programs with tenured or tenure-track faculty at \$101,925. Directors in the 54 programs with full-time nontenure-track LRW faculty had an average salary of \$101,194.

For LRW faculty, the average salary was highest for those who were tenured or tenure-track (\$88,596 in 11 programs) and lowest in programs staffed with full-time nontenure-track faculty (\$64,253 in 58 programs).

Director Type (Questions 45, 49, 75, and tables following Questions 49, 75): The highest average salary was for directors who were administrators and their primary responsibility was not LRW (\$131,667 in 3 programs), and next highest if they were tenured and their primary responsibility was LRW (\$127,771 in 27 programs). Following next were directors in the "other" status category (\$108,512 in 12 programs), clinical tenure or clinical tenure track (\$101,332 in 17 programs), untenured tenure-track with primary responsibility LRW (\$100,890 in 16 programs), administrator with primary responsibility for LRW (\$99,750 in 4 programs), and nontenure-track with primary responsibility for LRW (\$96,180 in 53 programs).

For LRW faculty, the average salary was highest when their director was reported as "other" (\$81,491). Below that, in downward order: untenured tenure-track, primary responsibility is LRW (\$77,770); tenured, primary responsibility is LRW (\$76,958); clinical tenure or tenure track (\$67,635); administrator or faculty, primary responsibility is not LRW (\$66,875); nontenure-track, primary responsibility is LRW (\$63,785); and administrator, primary responsibility is LRW (\$56,997).

## Job Security, Contract Terms, and Workload:

Directors' Status-Tenure (Questions 44,45): There were approximately the same number of reported tenured directors in 2010 (31) as in 2009 (29), approximately the same number of tenure-track directors (18 in 2010, 16 in 2009), and slightly more directors with clinical tenure or on clinical tenure-track (19 in 2010, 14 in 2009). Thus, in 2010, a total of 68 directors were tenured or tenure-track faculty, including clinical faculty, versus 59 in 2009. Fifty-nine directors who were not on tenure track were reported to have primary responsibility for LRW in 2009 (59), a slight increase from 2009 (55). At the same time, the number of programs without directors has continued to slowly but steadily increase (35 in 2010, 32 in 2009, 27 in 2008, and 25 in 2007).

LRW Faculty Status (Questions 65, 66): LRW faculty in most programs are on shortterm contracts, but there has been an increase in 405(c), 405(c) track, and tenured or tenure-track programs. Sixty-four programs reported having 1-year contracts, 21 had 2year contracts, and 58 had contracts of three years or more. Fifty-one reported having ABA standard 405(c) status (an increase from 43 in 2009), another 18 are on 405(c) status track (an increase from 15 in 2009), and 38 programs have tenured or tenure-track status (an increase from 33 in 2009). The vast majority of those on contract are not limited on the number of years that they may teach at the law school, i.e. they have no "cap" (139 out of 149 respondents to this question, or 93%).

Title (Question 48, 68): Program directors at 118 responding schools have some form of "Professor" in their title, and 83 schools use the title of "Director" (Question 48). For LRW faculty, most schools use some form of "Professor" in their official title (131 responding schools), 25 use "Instructor", 19 use "Lecturer or Senior Lecturer", and 2 use "Assistant or Associate Dean". Twenty-nine schools use some other form of title (Question 68).

#### **Directors' Workload (Questions 53, 54):**

Teaching Load: In the 2009-2010 academic year, during the fall semester, each director, on average, taught 39.61 entry-level students (versus 38.54 in 2008) for 3.27 inclass hours per week (versus 3.19 in 2009), used 3.14 major assignments and 3.64 minor assignments, read 1,189 pages of student work, and held 38.84 hours of required or strongly recommended conferences. The spring semester workload was somewhat less (37.77 students, 3.01 in-class hours of teaching per week, 2.85 major and 3.16 minor assignments, 1,272 pages and 37.86 conference hours.) Overall, there was a slight increase in the number of students taught, the number of hours of in-class teaching, the number of pages read, and the number of conference hours.

Preparation Time: In the fall, directors spent an average of 47.33 hours preparing major research and writing assignments (versus 49.68 in 2009) and 52.02 hours preparing

for class (versus 51.24 in 2009). In the spring, they spent approximately the same time as the fall in preparing major assignments (48.71 hours) but slightly less preparing for class (48.12 hours).

Time Distribution: The directors' time distribution percentages that were reported in answer to Question 53 added up to more than 100% and are converted to a 100 base in the chart representing the visual of this question.

#### LRW Faculty Workload (Question 82):

Teaching Load: In the fall, LRW faculty members each taught an average of 40.83 entry-level students (versus 42.09 in 2009), taught 3.80 in-class hours per week, used 3.23 major assignments and 3.49 minor assignments, read 1,489 pages of student work, and held 49.13 hours of required or strongly recommended conferences. The spring semester workload was somewhat lower (39.70 students, 3.52 in-class hours per week, 2.60 major assignments and 2.90 minor assignments, 1,520 pages read, and 45.05 conference hours.) The fall student load was slightly over the 40 students recommended by the Second Edition of the ABA Sourcebook on Legal Writing Programs for nontenure-track faculty.

Preparation Time: In the fall, LRW faculty spent an average of 34.98 hours preparing major research and writing assignments and 72.84 hours preparing for class. In the spring, LRW faculty spent approximately the same time preparing major assignments (34.38 hours), but somewhat less time on class preparation (67.28 hours).

#### **Other Responsibilities of Directors and LRW Faculty:**

Upper-level Teaching (Questions 55, 56, 85): Many directors taught courses other than the required writing courses (91 of 164 responses, or 55.5%) (Question 55). In 88 programs directors taught an average of 1.84 such courses, more non-LRW (60) than writing or drafting courses (45) (Question 56). Most LRW faculty also teach other courses (134 of 164 responses, or 82%), including both upper-level writing courses (85) and non-LRW courses (116), either during the regular academic year (118) or separate summer sessions (92) (Question 85).

Faculty Committees (Questions 59, 83): The vast majority of directors serve on faculty committees as voting members (138 of 146 programs responding, or 94.5%). (Question 59). LRW faculty serve on committees as voting members in 123 programs (95% of responses to this question). (Question 83).

Faculty Meetings (Questions 60, 84): The vast majority of directors who are not on tenure track may attend faculty meetings (100 of 126 responses, or 79% responded yes, only 4 responded no; 22 responded that they did not know). Of the 100 who may attend, 16 may vote on all matters, 61 may vote on all matters except hiring, tenure, or promotion. These numbers reflect an increase of 10 programs where nontenure track directors vote on all matters except hiring, tenure, and promotion, and are otherwise comparable to the 2009 results. (Question 60). In 146 programs (89% of those responding), LRW faculty may attend faculty meetings. For those LRW faculty who may attend meetings, in 41 programs they may vote on all matters, in 71 programs they may vote on all matters except hiring, tenure,

and promotion, and in 34 programs they may not vote. Only 14 programs do not permit LRW faculty to attend meetings. (Question 84).

Scholarship (Questions 62, 81): Directors are obligated to produce scholarship in 56 out of 164 programs responding, expected to produce scholarship in 60 programs, and encouraged to produce scholarship in 87 programs (programs were permitted to choose more than one response) (Question 62). LRW faculty are obligated to produce scholarship in only 39 of the 165 programs responding, expected to produce scholarship in 51 programs, and encouraged to produce scholarship in 103 programs. For both directors and LRW faculty, all categories show increases from the 2009 scholarship data.

Evaluation Standards for LRW Faculty (Question 70): There were more written evaluation standards in 2009-2010. Of the 168 respondents to this question, 121 (88%) of programs reported written evaluation standards to evaluate LRW faculty (versus 107, or 71% in 2009), 17 programs have standards under development, and 30 have no written standards.

## **Additional Support for LRW Faculty:**

Summer Grants (Questions 76, 78b): The number of schools reporting that their LRW faculty are eligible for summer grants increased this year (103 of the 163 schools responding versus 94 of 150 in 2009). The average grant amount was \$8,586, approximately the same amount as 2009. Forty-one schools reported that LRW faculty are not eligible for summer grants, and at 7 schools summer grants are not generally provided to any faculty. For those schools reporting that their faculty are eligible, 58 reported that their LRW faculty are just as likely to receive grants as doctrinal faculty (compared to 57 in 2009), 18 reported that LRW faculty are less likely or much less likely to receive summer grants than doctrinal faculty (up from 13 in 2009), and 5 reported that LRW faculty have never received summer grants (down from 8 in 2009) (Question 78b).

Professional Development Funding (Question 79): The great majority of schools responding (142 of 151 schools, or 94%) provide LRW faculty with developmental funding (to attend conferences, buy books, etc.) averaging \$2,418 (\$2,393 in 2009).

Research Assistants (Question 80): Eighty-seven percent of programs responding (140 of 161) provide LRW faculty with funding to hire research assistants, at an average amount of \$2,048 (\$2,138 in 2009).

### **Hot Topics Summary**

After much discussion, the committee decided not to do a Hot Topics section this year. Of the many topics discussed, we did not feel that there was a single urgent topic of interest that would be well-suited for compilation into a short survey section. Instead, given the formation of the Survey Task Force Committee that will be evaluating the content and focus of the survey in the upcoming academic year, we decided to focus on an early release and a high response rate for the 2010 survey.

# I. Submitter Profile: Who Answered?

This section briefly describes the survey respondents.

# 1. Are you:

		2010	2009	2008	2007
	Director of the required legal writing program? ("Director" means the person charged with lead responsibility for the program.) (71.2%)	136	119	134	136
	Associate director, assistant director, or co-director of the required legal writing program? (7.9%)	15	12	14	12
	Director of the upper-level appellate advocacy program, drafting program or other upper-level program? (0%)	0	0	0	3
	A teacher in a program without a director? (If so, please have one individual fill out the survey and give a response that, to the extent possible, is representative of all teachers in the program.) (14.7%)	28	25	24	20
e.	None of the above. (6.3%)	12	10	9	10

# 2. Please state your gender and race.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Total Responses (Gender)	191	166	181	181
Female (76.4%)	146	130	137	132
Male (23.6%)	45	36	44	49
b. Total Responses (Race)	188	161	181	181
White (90.1%)	172	151	150	170
African-American (3.7%)	7	5	6	4
Hispanic (1.6%)	3	2	2	2
Asian-American (1.1%)	2	1	0	2
Native American (0.0%)	0	0	0	*
Multiracial (0.5%)	1	1	1	*
Other (1.6%)	3	1	2	2
* New category not in 2007 survey				

Submitter Profile: Who Answered?

3. How many years have passed since the director earned a J.D. degree?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total Responses	162	143	159	163
Years Average	22.6	21.8	21.7	21.0
Years Minimum	6	5	5	4
Years Maximum	41	40	39	38

# 4. How many years has the director been teaching in law school on a full-time basis?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total Responses	162	141	158	163
Years Average	14.1	13.9	13.6	13.8
Years Minimum	0	0	0	0
Years Maximum	33	32	31	30

# 5. How many years has the director directed the writing program at the present law school?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total Responses	157	137	152	158
Years Average	9.0	8.5	8.6	8.7
Years Minimum	1	0	0	0
Years Maximum	30	29	27	26

#### School Profile: Which Schools Answered? II.

Following (and slightly modifying) the model developed by the 6. Society of American Law Teachers, we have divided the country into eight regions. Please identify the region where your law school is located. (Note: 1 of the 191 responses is from Canada.)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Region I: Far West –AZ, CA, HI, NV, OR, UT, WA	30	30	31	30
b. Region II: Northwest & Great Plains –ID, MT, NE, ND, SD, WY	7	6	7	7
c. Region III: Southwest & South Central –AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NM, OK, TX	27	25	25	27
d. Region IV: Great Lakes/Upper Midwest –IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, OH, WI	34	33	33	34
e. Region V: Southeast –AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, TN, WV	27	20	23	24
f. Region VI: Mid-Atlantic –DC, DE, MD, NJ, NC, PA, SC, VA	34	28	31	29
g. Region VII: Northeastern –CT, MA, ME, NH, NY (excluding New York City and Long Island), RI, VT	22	16	20	20
h. Region VIII: New York City and Long Island	9	7	10	9



School Profile: Which Schools Answered?

# 7. What is the setting of your law school?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Urban (66.5%)	127	112	123	124
b. Suburban (26.7%)	51	42	45	44
c. Rural (6.8%)	13	12	13	13
d. No response	0	0	0	0

# 8. What type of institution is your law school?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Public (41.9%)	80	73	74	76
b. Private (58.1%)	111	93	107	105

# 9. What was the size of your first-year JD class for the current academic year?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. 100 or fewer students (3.1%)	6	7	7	7
b. 101 to 150 students (14.1%)	27	25	20	20
c. 151 to 200 students (23.0%	44	39	52	52
d. 201 to 250 students (25.7%)	49	39	38	39
e. 251 to 300 students (11.0%)	21	19	19	23
f. 301 or more students (23.0%)	44	37	45	40

School Profile: Which Schools Answered?

# **III. Staffing Model: Status Questions**

10. Following the model used by the authors of the Source Book on Legal Writing Programs, we have identified eight basic staffing models for first-year writing programs. Please identify the model that most closely resembles the format that your school uses. Do not consider the director's status if that differs from the status of other LRW teachers.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Tenured or tenure-track teachers hired specifically to teach legal writing	12	11	9	8
b. Tenured or tenure-track teachers hired to teach legal writing and other courses	3	3	3	3
c. Tenured or tenure-track teachers who teach legal writing as part of their first-year doctrinal courses	0	0	0	0
d. Many tenured or tenure-track teachers teaching legal writing to small groups of students where the teacher has no other responsibilities with respect to legal writing and where the teacher's primary responsibilities lie with teaching other courses	1	1	0	0
e. Full-time nontenure-track teachers with long-term contracts or short-term contracts	79	73	86	84
f. Part-time faculty	2	3	4	4
g. Adjuncts	20	17	17	18
h. Graduate students	0	0	0	0
i. Students (only if these are upper-level students who provide a substantial portion of individualized feedback on papers or have substantial responsibility for classroom teaching)	0	0	0	1
j. A complex hybrid of the above models or some other model	71	58	62	63
k. Not answered	3	0	0	0

Staffing Model: Status Questions

11. If you checked answer j. (hybrid model) in the preceding question, which of the following elements are part of your program? *Please mark all that apply*.

		2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	Tenured or tenure-track teachers hired specifically to teach legal writing	17	11	15	14
b.	Tenured or tenure-track teachers hired to teach legal writing and other courses	20	18	21	19
c.	Tenured or tenure-track teachers who teach legal writing as part of their first-year doctrinal courses	5	4	5	5
d.	Many tenured or tenure-track teachers teaching legal writing to small groups of students where the teacher has no other responsibilities with respect to legal writing and where the teacher's primary responsibilities lie with teaching other courses	4	4	3	5
e.	Full-time nontenure-track teachers with long-term contracts or short-term contracts	56	47	50	52
f.	Part-time faculty	13	8	10	8
g.	Adjuncts	51	42	43	45
h.	Graduate students	3	1	2	2
i.	Students (only if these are upper-level students who provide a substantial portion of individualized feedback on papers or have substantial responsibility for classroom teaching)	12	11	15	13
	Total Number of Schools with Hybrid Models	71	58	62	63

# **IV. Curriculum: Credits and Coursework**

Note: To collect and report comparable data, we asked respondents to report all credit hours in semester hours and report all grades on a scale assuming 4.0 equals an A.

# 12. How many credit hours are awarded each semester of the required program? (Responses of zero were excluded from the averages and totals.)

	1	L	2L		3]	L
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring
Total Schools Responding 2010	181	184	49	16	7	5
1 credit (no. of schools)	12	16	<b>5</b>	3	0	1
2 credits (no. of schools)	89	99	35	8	3	4
3 credits (no. of schools)	74	66	9	3	4	0
4 credits (no. of schools)	6	3	0	2	0	0
2010 (average credits)	2.41	2.30	2.08	2.25	2.57	1.80
2009 (average credits)	2.40	2.26	2.02	2.19	2.33	2.00
2008 (average credits)	2.36	2.24	2.04	2.18	2.20	2.40
2007 (average credits)	2.36	2.21	2.07	2.18	2.43	2.25



Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

13. When is the first required advocacy course taught (typically an introductory appellate advocacy course taught in the spring of the first year), and how many credits are awarded for it? Please indicate the semester in which it is taught by writing the number of credit hours in the appropriate space. If necessary, estimate the number of credit hours. (Responses of zero were excluded from the averages and totals.)

	1	L	2L		3]	L
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring
Total Schools Responding 2010	7	142	24	9	0	0
1 credit (No. of schools)	0	14	1	1	0	0
2 credits (No. of schools)	2	77	17	6	0	0
3 credits (No. of schools)	4	49	6	2	0	0
4 credits (No. of schools)	1	2	0	0	0	0
2010 (average credits)	2.86	2.27	2.21	2.11	0	0
2009 (average credits)	2.60	2.23	2.11	1.86	0	0
2008 (average credits)	2.50	2.23	2.16	1.80	0	0
2007 (average credits)	2.25	2.16	2.05	1.75	0	0



Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

14. Does the number of credit hours awarded for the required program each semester equal the number of hours of in-class teaching each week?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes.	144	129	138	140
b. No, we teach more classroom hours each week as compared to number of credit hours, on average.	17	16	20	21
Average hours more in-classroom teaching	1.23	1.08	1.22	1.53
Minimum hours more in-classroom teaching	0.25	0.25	0.5	0.5
Maximum hours more in-classroom teaching	3	2	2	3
c. No, we teach fewer classroom hours each week as compared to number of credit hours, on average.	23	21	22	19
Average hours less in-classroom teaching	0.84	0.82	0.84	0.81
Minimum hours less in-classroom teaching	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Maximum hours less in-classroom teaching	2	2	2	2

## 15. How is your required course graded?

	2010	2009	2008	2007	
a. Grades that are included in the students' GPAs	159	145	158	155	
b. Grades that are not included in the students' GPAs	1	1	1	1	
c. Honors, pass, fail (or some equivalent)	10	8	11	11	
d. Purely pass/fail	4	4	4	4	
e. Other method*	11	8	7	10	
f. Not Answered	6	0	0	0	
* Responses of "other" generally reflected combinations of these methods					

16. Is the required program graded the same way as other first-year courses, on a special curve or mean for LRW, or on some other curve or mean? *Please convert your mean grade to a 4.0 scale.*<sup>1</sup>

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Graded the same way as all first-year courses.	107	100	106	107
Average required mean	2.94	3.01	2.91	2.89
Minimum required mean	2	2	2	2
Maximum required mean	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5
b. Graded on a curve or mean specifically for LRW.	46	39	43	45
Average required mean	2.97	2.98	2.95	2.94
Minimum required mean	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4
Maximum required mean	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
c. Graded on some other curve or mean.	8	6	7	4
Average required mean	3.02	2.98	3.08	3.07
Minimum required mean	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.8
Maximum required mean	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
d. None of the above	25	21	25	24



 $\bigcirc$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A Note about the Data: In reporting their curves, some schools gave ranges rather than single numbers. In calculating the averages for this chart, we included those responses at the mid-point of the range. Thus, a school reporting a range of 3.1-3.3 was included as having reported 3.2.

17. Are the major writing assignments in the required program graded anonymously? A major writing assignment is one in which the final product is equal to or greater than 5 pages.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, <u>all</u> major writing assignments	62	59	67	67
b. Yes, over approximately 75% of major assignments	20	14	15	14
c. Yes, over approximately 50% of major assignments	16	14	15	16
d. Yes, over approximately 25% of major assignments	12	11	9	11
e. No	76	68	73	72

# 18. How do you teach legal research in your program (choose a. or b.), and who teaches legal research (choose c. through g.)?<sup>2</sup>

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Integrated with writing	154	139	151	149
b. Separate from writing	65	54	56	54
c. LRW Faculty	77	73	74	79
d. Librarians	56	46	52	45
e. Both LRW faculty and librarians	68	56	66	61
f. Teaching assistants or other students	29	25	24	21
g. Other	21	16	18	22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A Note about the Data: Schools were invited to select all that apply on these questions, so the total of "integrated" plus "separate" is more than the number of schools responding, for example, as a result of 32 schools doing both.

			Research integrated w/ writing	Research taught separately
a. R	esearch exercises unrelated to writing	2010	119	22
	ssignments	2009	106	18
u		2008	113	21
		2007	104	65
h A	ll closed universe writing	2010	11	4
	ssignments with no research	2009	9	3
a	ssignments with no research	2008	14	4
		2007	19	5
c. A	ll open library research for writing	2010	30	4
		2009	33	4
as	ssignments	2008	40	4
		2007	55	8
d C	ombination of closed and open library	2010	139	34
		2009	120	25
re	research assignments	2008	128	25
		2007	120	35
o I	Legislative history research	2010	73	16
е. ц		2009	61	11
		2008	68	13
		2007	65	30
f. A	dministrative law research	2010	73	14
1. A		2010	61	11
	Γ	2008	63	11
	Γ	2007	60	28
g. L	imited Westlaw/Lexis training in the	2010	60	12
-	_	2010	56	11
f1:	rst semester	2008	65	14
	Γ	2007	69	43
h II	Inlimited Westlaw/Lexis training in	2010	96	19
	_	2010	85	14
th	ne first semester	2008	87	10
		2007	72	26
i. U	Inlimited Westlaw/Lexis training in	2007	113	17
	C	2010	101	12
th	ne second semester	2003	101	13
	F	2008	110	40
: 0		$\frac{2007}{2010}$	26	40
j. O	- Other	2010	20	
	F	$\frac{2009}{2008}$	20	2
	+	$\frac{2008}{2007}$	20 15	9

# 19. What assignments are covered in the required LRW program? *Please mark all that apply.*

20. What writing assignments are assigned (choose a. through i.) and what speaking skills are taught (choose j. through o.) in the required LRW program? *Please mark all that apply.* 

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Office memoranda	187	166	176	181
b. Client letters	99	88	90	96
c. Pretrial briefs	105	93	93	110
d. Trial briefs	65	51	54	60
e. Appellate briefs	149	133	137	147
f. Law review articles	4	5	6	5
g. Drafting documents	64	46	49	60
h. Drafting legislation	10	8	8	10
i. Other writing assignment	114	92	90	37
j. Pretrial motion argument	78	67	67	67
k. Trial motion argument	41	33	33	37
l. Appellate brief argument	138	122	124	148
m. In-class presentation	85	72	73	79
n. Oral report to senior partner	67	55	53	52
o. Other speaking skills	51	43	47	24



Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

21. What percentage of time is spent on the following classroom teaching activities? *Please mark all that apply. Responses of zero are included in totals and averages. See charts on next page.* 

		2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	Lecture	176	158	172	171
	Average time spent	31.65%	31.77%	31.80%	32.25%
	Minimum time spent	5%	5%	5%	5%
	Maximum time spent	80%	85%	100%	100%
b.	Demonstrations	162	144	157	156
	Average time spent	11.30%	10.86%	10.70%	11.31%
	Minimum time spent	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Maximum time spent	35%	35%	35%	35%
c.	Individual in-class exercises	162	142	156	157
	Average time spent	10.31%	9.82%	9.74%	9.78%
	Minimum time spent	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Maximum time spent	40%	25%	25%	25%
d.	Group in-class exercises	172	153	170	164
	Average time spent	16.63%	16.76%	16.68%	16.19%
	Minimum time spent	0%	0	0%	0%
	Maximum time spent	40%	35%	40%	40%
e.	In-class writing	153	131	143	144
	Average time spent	8.56%	8.24%	8.36%	8.26%
	Minimum time spent	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Maximum time spent	50%	25%	25%	25%
f.	<b>Q</b> & A and class discussion	175	156	171	169
	Average time spent	23.40%	23.88%	23.39%	22.99%
	Minimum time spent	0%	5%	5%	5%
	Maximum time spent	60%	60%	30%	60%
g.	Other activities	91	74	76	66
	Average time spent	8.02%	7.57%	6.84%	7.76%
	Minimum time spent	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Maximum time spent	30%	30%	30%	30%

Curriculum: Credits and Coursework





22. Are any legal writing assignments coordinated collaboratively by the LRW faculty and doctrinal faculty with reading or writing assignments in other first-year courses?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes. The assignment topics and teaching are coordinated.	6	5	5	5
b. Somewhat. The topics of the assignments are coordinated but not the teaching.	36	35	35	36
c. No.	145	125	141	139

23. Do you require rewrites of major writing assignments in the required program, and if so, are the rewrites graded? Note: A major writing assignment is one in which the final product is equal to or greater than 5 pages.

		2010	2009	2008	2007
	Yes, <u>all</u> major assignments require at least one rewrite.	54	52	57	60
b. Y	Yes, but <u>not all</u> require rewrites.	115	100	108	105
A	Average % of assignments requiring rewrites	53.5%	53%	50.7%	49.3%
Ν	Minimum %	10%	10%	10%	15%
Ν	Maximum %	90%	100%	90%	90%
c. 1	No.	18	14	16	16
d. A	All drafts and rewrites are graded.	86	71	73	85
	Only drafts are graded, after which rewrites are required.	8	5	6	8
f. (	Only rewrites are graded.	78	76	80	64

24. For those major writing assignments on which LRW faculty comment, what is the extent of the comments? *Please mark all that apply. This applies to comments written in pen or pencil on paper or to feedback provided in a similar fashion via a computer.* 

		2010	2009	2008	2007				
a.	Comments written on the paper itself and in the margins	186	166	180	180				
b.	General feedback memo addressed to all students	156	139	146	136				
c.	Feedback memo written specifically for the individual student	129	107	119	115				
d.	Short comments written at the end of the paper	169	151	159	159				
e.	Comments in person during conference	175	156	165	163				
f.	Grading grids or score sheets	135	122	138	125				
g.	Other*	40	37	35	27				
* Era	* Examples of "other" answers: oral feedback general feedback in class audio comments								

\* Examples of "other" answers: oral feedback; general feedback in class; audio comments; peer review; checklists

25. What percentage of major writing assignments in the required course are graded? Note: A major writing assignment is one that requires a final product equal to or greater than 5 pages. Graded assignments do not include those evaluated with a check, check+, check-, or similar method.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. 0-25%	7	6	7	7
b. 26-50%	12	11	12	10
c. 51-75%	33	28	30	33
d. 76-100%	134	121	132	130

# 26. What aspects of your program are consistent among the sections? (Highlighting represents highest response for the question.)

		Uniform	Generally Consistent	Varies among sections
	2010	85	87	14
a Syllabus soverage	2009	76	75	14
a. Syllabus coverage	2008	83	80	12
	2007	92	74	14
	2010	139	46	1
b. Number of major	2009	121	40	4
assignments	2008	131	40	4
	2007	138	35	7
	2010	99	69	17
c. Due dates and length of	2009	81	68	16
most assignments	2008	92	67	16
	2007	97	60	23
	2010	43	72	69
d. Number of minor	2009	41	63	60
assignments	2008	52	65	57
	2007	52	64	64
	2010	93	25	67
Description of the set	2009	81	22	61
e. Required textbook	2008	91	25	58
	2007	99	25	56
	2010	156	16	14
f. Citation text (ALWD,	2009	138	13	13
Bluebook)	2008	150	20	5
	2007	164	11	5
	2010	9	69	108
g. Content of class	2009	9	60	96
lectures/exercises	2008	8	72	93
	2007	13	66	101
	2010	53	108	22
h. Grading	2009	45	94	22
n. Graung	2008	55	94	25
	2007	60	94	25

Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

27. Which citation method do you plan to teach for the next academic year? *Please note: This is the only question relating to the next academic year instead of the current academic year.* 

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. ALWD Citation Manual only (15.5%)	29	31	40	46
b. Bluebook only (66.3%)	124	104	108	102
c. Both ALWD Citation Manual and Bluebook (7.0%)	13	16	17	15
d. Either ALWD Citation Manual or Bluebook, at each teacher's option. (6.4%)	12	9	7	10
e. Other (4.8%)	9	6	9	8

# 28. Which of these services does your law school provide for firstyear students? *Please mark as many as apply.*

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Writing Specialist, full-time	17	14	10	13
b. Writing Specialist, part-time	41	36	33	35
c. Tutorial	37	32	38	33
d. Student teaching assistants helping students	126	111	119	117
e. Academic Support Program	156	142	151	147
f. Other*	30	24	20	16
	• , ;		-	

\* Examples of "other" answers: study skills workshops; summer introductory program; student-staffed writing center; mentors/tutors

		[	Writing Specialist #1	Writing Specialist #2
		2010	21	2
	a. Full-time	2010	17	0
		2008	13	0
		2000 2007	15	2
		2010	38	5
		2009	35	6
	b. Part-time	2008	30	4
		2007	31	5
<i>c</i> o		2010	2	0
Status	o Tommod	2009	3	0
ta	c. Tenured	2008	4	0
S		2007	3	0
		2010	12	1
	d. Long-term	2009	10	0
	contract	2008	08	0
		2007	08	0
		2010	33	5
	e. Short-term	2009	28	6
	contract	2008	20	4
		2007	17	3
		2010	26	5
	f. J.D.	2009	25	4
	I. J.D.	2008	19	3
		2007	17	3
		2010	18	0
	g. Ph.D. in English	2009	14	0
Training	g. 1 II.D. III Eligiisii	2008	12	0
ni		2007	13	1
rai		2010	14	1_
T	h. Other relevant	2009	12	1
	advanced degree	2008	09	0
		2007	14	1
		2010	3	1_
	i. Other	2009	3	2
		2008	4	2
		2007	3	2
		2010	41	5
	j. Female	2009	39	4
er	J. 1 0111010	2008	33	3
Gender		2007	33	3
ae i		2010	18	2
0	k. Male	2009	13	3.
		2008	09	2
		2007	11	4

29. If your law school employs a writing specialist, what is that person's status, training, and gender? (Salary data on next page.)

Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

		2010	2009	2008	2007
	Full-time paid by semester (number)	0	0	0	0
	Full-time paid by year (number)	17	15	11	12
	Average salary	\$65,077	\$65,803	\$63,333	\$60,000
	Median salary	\$66,000	\$70,000	\$65,000	\$55,000
t #1	Minimum salary	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
alis	Maximum salary	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$90,000
Writing Specialist #1	Part-time paid by semester (number)	17	13	12	12
ing	Average salary	\$12,333	\$7,600	\$7,700	**
Vrit	Median salary	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$7,500	**
Λ	Minimum salary	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$6,000
	Maximum salary	\$30,000	\$13,500	\$13,800	\$12,500
	Part-time paid by year (number)	23	20	19	18
	Average salary	\$13,667	\$7,333	\$24,500	\$20,600
	Median salary	\$17,000	\$8,000	\$15,000	\$9,000
	Minimum salary	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$8,000	\$5,000
	Maximum salary	\$20,000	\$9,000	\$60,000	\$60,000
	Full-time paid by semester (number)	0	0	0	1NSR
01	Full-time paid by year (number)	1NSR	1NSR	1NSR	1NSR
Writing Specialist #2	Part-time paid by semester (number)	3	3	2	3
ecia	Average salary	**	**	**	**
Spe	Median salary	**	**	**	**
ing	Minimum salary	\$14,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$4,000
Vrit	Maximum salary	\$20,000	\$14,000	\$14,000	\$12,000
~	Part-time paid by year (number)	2	2	2	2
	Average salary	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000	\$21,000
	Median salary	*	*	*	*
	Minimum salary	*	*	*	*
	Maximum salary	*	*	*	*

\* Only one response with values \*\* Only two responses with values

NSR=No salary responses

Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

30. If your law school employs a writing specialist, what responsibilities does that person have, and approximately what percentage of time is allocated to each responsibility? *Please mark all that apply.* 

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Holding student conferences	57	49	41	45
Average % of time	60.8%	62.3%	60%	60.6%
Minimum %	0%	5%	5%	5%
Maximum %	100%	100%	100%	100%
b. Training LRW faculty	37	29	23	24
Average % of time	3.5%	3.6%	4.8%	7.1%
Minimum %	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maximum %	25%	25%	25%	25%
c. Providing workshops	48	42	37	37
Average % of time	21.8%	22.0%	23.9%	23.1%
Minimum %	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maximum %	80%	80%	80%	80%
d. Training L. REV. and Adv. Moot Court students	36	30	22	21
Average % of time	5%	6%	5.0%	5.2%
Minimum %	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maximum %	50%	50%	50%	50%
e. Teaching upper-level writing courses	37	29	21	23
Average % of time	8.2%	10.5%	12.9%	16.3%
Minimum %	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maximum %	75%	90%	90%	90%
f. Reviewing upper-level seminar papers	42	35	24	24
Average % of time	11.0%	11.4%	10.0%	10.4%
Minimum %	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maximum %	80%	80%	80%	80%
g. Publishing scholarly articles and books	34	26	19	19
Average % of time	2.8%	3.5%	3.2%	5.0%
Minimum %	0%	0%	0%	0%
Maximum %	20%	20%	25%	25%

Curriculum: Credits and Coursework

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes	38	33	31	31
Average years	9.46	9.25	9.66	8.85
Minimum years	1	1	1	1
Maximum years	32	31	30	30
b. Yes, staffed by professionals	34	33	33	25
Average number of professionals	2.13	2.47	2.63	2.5
Minimum number of professionals	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Maximum number of professionals	9	9	17	17
c. Yes, staffed by teaching assistants	26	24	27	18
Average number of teaching assistants	10.13	8.88	9.24	7.07
Minimum number of teaching assistants	1	1	1	0.25
Maximum number of teaching assistants	40	34	36	36
d. Other	11	10	7	9
e. No, but the university writing center is available to law students	77	68	70	66
f. No	66	57	72	74

31. Do you have a formal writing center in your law school for your program? *Please mark all that apply.* 

# V. Upper-Level Writing Courses

32. Does your law school offer elective legal writing courses? Elective course means a course that is not part of the required sequence that all entering law students must take, such as legal research, legal writing, or appellate advocacy/moot court.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. No, no elective courses are offered	10	8	12	15
b. Yes, elective courses taught by non-writing faculty	37	33	44	46
c. Yes, elective courses taught by legal writing faculty (including the director and LRW adjuncts)	20	16	18	19
d. Yes, elective courses taught by either non-writing or by legal writing faculty	115	106	102	95
e. Other	5	3	5	6



33. Must students satisfy an upper-level writing requirement, beyond the required program, for graduation? *Please mark all courses that are required or count toward the requirement.* 

	2010	2009	2008	2007
No	16	12	15	17
Yes	171	154	165	162

		2010				2007
	Required	Not required but counts toward requirement	Total	Total	2008 Total	Total
a. Advanced legal writing – general writing	8	65	73	59	61	61
b. Advanced legal writing – survey course	2	29	31	25	24	24
c. Drafting, general	10	58	68	60	62	60
d. Drafting, litigation	9	62	71	63	62	56
e. Drafting, legislation	6	39	45	41	41	30
f. Drafting, transactional	9	70	79	72	72	60
g. Advanced advocacy (excluding student-run moot court programs)	9	92	101	91	90	80
h. Scholarly writing	79	90	169	150	161	156
i. Judicial opinion writing	0	30	30	29	24	18
j. Advanced research	8	51	59	47	50	46
k. Other	7	39	46	41	43	34
## 34. Does your law school train students who are required to produce scholarly writing/seminar papers? *Please mark all that apply.*

	• • • • • • •				
		2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	No, not at all or seldom	<b>25</b>	23	31	40
b.	The faculty or most faculty do so within the courses for which the paper is written	136	124	125	115
c.	Yes, in writing workshops that are not law school courses	25	16	14	13
d.	Yes, in a separate course taught by non-writing faculty	14	15	15	18
e.	Yes, in a separate course taught by LRW faculty or director	14	12	15	13
f.	Other	26	19	20	15



Upper-Level Writing Courses

35. What courses are taught in the elective writing curriculum and who teaches those courses? Please mark all that apply. Totals do not represent the number of schools responding because each school could check more than one instructor type for each course.

		LRW Director	LRW Full- time Faculty	LRW Adjuncts	Non-LRW Full-time Faculty	Non- LRW Adjuncts	Librarians	Other	Total
a. Advanced legal	2010	24	51	23	30	23	3	4	158
writing –	2009	21	47	22	28	17	2	4	141
general writing	2008	23	49	18	28	21	1	3	143
	2007	21	42	17	27	16	0	3	126
b. Advanced legal	2010	11	21	6	8	3	0	1	50
writing –	2009	11	20	4	5	2	0	1	43
survey course	2008	14	23	5	6	4	0	0	<b>52</b>
	2007	13	22	6	7	4	0	0	<b>52</b>
c. Drafting,	2010	6	39	16	38	48	0	1	148
general	2009	5	37	15	33	44	0	0	134
	2008	6	39	16	38	44	0	0	143
	2007	11	30	17	35	36	0	0	129
d. Drafting,	2010	8	41	16	46	64	0	0	175
litigation	2009	4	34	13	42	55	0	0	148
	2008	5	33	13	47	56	0	1	155
	2007	5	23	15	36	50	0	1	130
e. Drafting,	2010	4	5	5	40	29	0	1	84
legislation	2009	6	7	3	37	22	0	1	76
	2008	6	9	3	37	25	0	1	81
	2007	5	7	4	30	20	0	1	67

		LRW Director	LRW Full- time Faculty	LRW Adjuncts	Non-LRW Full-time Faculty	Non- LRW Adjuncts	Librarians	Other	Total
f. Drafting,	2010	9	42	16	63	62	1	1	194
transactional	2009	6	34	13	56	49	1	1	160
	2008	6	34	12	<b>58</b>	51	1	0	162
	2007	9	25	9	49	43	1	1	137
g. Advanced	2010	20	50	28	66	69	0	3	236
advocacy (excluding	2009	17	48	25	58	59	0	2	209
student-run moot court	2008	18	44	21	67	64	0	2	216
programs)	2007	18	40	22	56	56	0	3	195
h. Scholarly	2010	10	32	8	92	19	1	4	166
writing	2009	9	30	5	79	14	2	3	142
	2008	10	32	4	81	16	2	5	150
	2007	11	27	5	74	16	3	5	141
i. Judicial	2010	7	14	3	17	14	0	5	60
opinion writing	2009	7	12	3	14	13	0	2	51
	2008	7	8	3	15	9	0	4	46
	2007	7	6	4	11	7	0	2	37
j. Advanced	2010	3	14	3	21	6	129	3	179
research	2009	2	14	3	17	5	115	1	157
	2008	2	13	2	14	6	121	2	160
	2007	2	14	0	13	7	107	4	146
k. Other	2010	5	8	6	13	4	0	3	39
	2009	2	6	3	11	2	0	1	25
	2008	3	5	4	10	3	0	1	26
	2007	4	7	3	8	2	0	1	25

			ber of st enr verage/N	oll		Number of schools reporting demand greater than availability				Total offering 2010			
		2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010			
a.	Advanced	44.42	33.40	31.63	30.85								
	legal writing	10	10	4	9	39	42	43	34	77			
	– general	350*	180	180	180*								
b.	Advanced	35.00	39.74	45.81	45.23								
	legal writing	10	11	12	10	12	12	13	18	16	22		
	- survey	200	200	200	300								
c.	Drafting,	43.57	45.20	51.59	49.38								
	general	5	5	5	6	22	25	27	24	58			
		250	250	300	250*								
d.	Drafting,	39.83	41.18	40.56	37.92								
	litigation	6	6	6	6	33	33	32	31	21	86		
		130	160	160	160								
e.	Drafting,	27.41	25.52	20.00	17.30								
	legislation	6	6	6	6	15	16	16	11	44			
		250	250	70	40								
f.	Drafting,	43.99	38.81	39.52	34.68				1				
	transactional	6	6	6	5	35	31	32	23	84			
		350	300	300	150								
g.	Advanced	53.10	46.27	49.85	46.83		2.0	2.0		100			
	advocacy	6	6	6	6	28	29	29	25	109			
	<u> </u>	340	275	340	275*								
h.	Scholarly	92.22	80.31	80.72	81.18	0	11	10	0				
	writing	3 422	<u>3</u> 300	5 300	<u>5</u> 300	9	11	10	8	69			
•	T 1'- '- 1	422 17.29	16.39	18.63	17.00								
i.	Judicial opinion	17.29	10.39	10.05	<u>17.00</u> 5	11	12	9	8	31			
	writing	50	50	50	50	11	14	9	0	91			
:	-	41.55	39.90	37.67	36.83								
j.	Advanced research	41.55	39.90	31.07	<u> </u>	34	35	32	28	115			
	105041011	350	350	350	350*	94	00	04	20	110			
k	Other	67.14			61.83								
п.	OTHEL	5	5	5	12	5	3	6	6	<b>1</b> 4			
		275	275	275	257	,	)	0	Ű				

# 36. Approximately how many students enroll each year in the following upper-level writing courses? Is the demand for each upper-level course greater than its availability?

\* Answers in excess of 500 excluded

Upper-Level Writing Courses 29

37. Do any upper-level doctrinal courses taught by full-time faculty include a writing assignment? *Please note: Doctrinal course means a course other than a clinic, seminar, or advanced writing course. Writing assignment means an assignment other than a traditional written in-class or take-home examination.* 

		2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	Yes, all doctrinal courses include a writing component	2	2	2	3
b.	b. Yes, some doctrinal courses include a writing component		156	170	169
	Average % with writing component	24.01%	23.39%	23.23%	22.21%
	Minimum % with writing component	5%	3%	3%	3%
	Maximum % with writing component	80%	80%	80%	80%
c.	No doctrinal courses include a writing component	11	8	9	9

38. If you answered (a) or (b) in the prior question, what types of assignments do the doctrinal courses include? *Please mark all that apply*.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Drafting—general	85	73	82	66
b. Drafting—litigation	96	90	96	78
c. Drafting—legislation	65	53	56	52
d. Drafting—transactional	108	98	97	86
e. Advanced advocacy	64	59	62	57
f. Memoranda or essays	112	103	111	99
g. Client/Opinion letters	64	50	52	44
h. Judicial opinions	51	43	45	42
i. Scholarly papers	139	127	146	137
j. Other	33	28	27	24

# 39. How much written feedback do students generally receive on assignments in doctrinal courses?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. <u>More</u> feedback than in the required writing program	0	0	0	0
b. <u>About the same</u> amount of feedback as in the required writing program	3	2	3	5
c. <u>Somewhat less</u> feedback than in the required writing program	32	29	34	27
d. <u>Considerably less</u> feedback than in the required writing program	75	68	68	71
e. Don't know	75	64	73	73

### VI. Technology

40. Does the law school provide legal writing faculty with technological resources such as Westlaw, LexisNexis, access to the Internet, and word processing?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes	186	165	181	180
b. No	1	1	0	1

# 41. If the law school does provide legal writing faculty with computer technology, how do the resources compare with those of other faculty?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. The resources are <u>better</u> than those of other faculty	5	3	6	6
b. The resources are <u>comparable</u> to those of other faculty	174	159	170	168
c. The resources are <u>less</u> than those of other faculty	6	3	5	6

#### 42. Does the LRW program have a web page?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, the LRW program has a web page	69	61	61	57
b. Yes, at least one member of the LRW faculty has a web page	42	39	44	45
c. No web pages	76	66	76	79

43. Which of the following technologies do you and your LRW faculty use in your program, and how effective is each technology, rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being not effective and 5 being very effective? Answers of "0" were not included in averages. Top answer for 2010 highlighted. Ratings on next page

			All faculty use	Most use	Some use	No faculty use
a.	E-mail listserv for	2010	121	32	18	11
	students (total schools	2009	105	31	16	9
	responding)	2008	115	32	17	11
		2007	109	37	18	10
b.	Smart classroom	2010	54	36	53	22
		2009	49	31	50	16
		2008	51	29	55	25
		2007	43	30	59	21
c.	On-line edits	2010	16	22	104	30
		2009	14	17	93	26
		2008	13	17	103	32
		2007	13	14	98	34
d.	Course web page	2010	30	18	39	60
		2009	28	16	37	46
		2008	36	15	38	55
		2007	35	11	45	50
e.	Web course utility product	2010	103	37	39	5
	(e.g. TWEN, WebCT,	2009	84	38	38	3
	Blackboard, etc.)	2008	84	34	53	6
		2007	75	28	62	6

 $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C}$ 

		2010 Effectiveness Rating (Total schools responding)							
	(Tot 1 (least)	2	ools re 3	spond 4	1ng) 5 (most)	Average Rating			
a. E-mail listserv for students (total schools responding)	3	5	13	32	111	<b>2010: 4.48</b> 2009: 4.50 2008: 4.47 2007: 4.43			
b. Smart classroom	0	7	27	44	57	<b>2010: 4.12</b> 2009: 4.13 2008: 4.04 2007: 4.04			
c. On-line edits	2	5	26	47	45	<b>2010: 4.02</b> 2009: 4.06 2008: 4.03 2007: 3.98			
d. Course web page	1	5	17	23	27	<b>2010: 3.96</b> 2009: 4.02 2008: 3.97 2007: 4.04			
e. Web course utility product (e.g. TWEN, WebCT, Blackboard, etc.)	3	5	22	61	83	<b>2010: 4.24</b> 2009: 4.24 2008: 4.16 2007: 4.10			

### **VII. Directors**

44. Does your program have a director (a person with direct responsibility for the design, implementation, and supervision of your law school's writing program)?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes	151	134	154	156
b. No	35	32	27	25
c. No answer	5	0	0	0

### 45. If your program has a director, which of these choices best describes the director?

		2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	A tenured faculty member whose primary responsibility is directing the legal writing program	31	29	33	33
b.	An untenured faculty member on a tenure track whose primary responsibility is directing the legal writing program	18	16	18	17
c.	A faculty member not on a tenure track whose primary responsibility is directing the legal writing program	59	55	60	66
d.	A faculty member or administrator whose primary responsibility is not the first-year legal writing program	8	4	5	6
e.	An administrator whose primary responsibility is directing the legal writing program	4	4	5	5
f.	A faculty member with clinical tenure or on clinical tenure track	19	14	13	12
g.	Other	25	23	26	19

46. Does your program have an associate or assistant director? If so, please give the salary.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes	37	31	39	36
Average annual salary	\$84,266	\$83,259	\$82,168	\$82,152
Median annual salary	\$81,000	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$80,000
Minimum annual salary	\$40,000	\$60,000	\$50,000	\$45,000
Maximum annual salary	\$138,000	\$110,000	\$180,000	\$165,000
b. No	132	118	125	124



### 47. If the director is not tenured or tenure track, how long is the term of the director's contract?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Number of years				
1 year	20	16	17	15
2 years	5	3	4	2
3 years	12	15	17	27
>3 years	48	37	44	42
b. The contractual terms have never been specifically set out	7	6	4	5
c. Not applicable or unknown	44	44	46	32

### 30

Directors

48. What faculty title does the director have in official law school materials (publications, catalogues, signs, etc.)? *Please mark all that apply*.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Professor, associate professor, or assistant professor	72	66	72	74
b. Professor, associate professor, or assistant professor <u>of</u> <u>legal writing</u>	24	22	18	17
c. <u>Visiting</u> professor or visiting professor of legal writing	0	0	2	2
d. <u>Clinical</u> professor, clinical associate professor, or clinical assistant professor	22	18	23	23
e. Lecturer or senior lecturer	7	6	9	10
f. Instructor	5	2	4	4
g. Director	83	74	74	71
h. Assistant or associate dean	5	4	4	5
i. Other	22	18	17	12



Directors

49. What is the current annual base salary of the director? Note: Base salary is the salary for the current academic year, including any additional stipend for the administrative workload but excluding payments for other work such as summer teaching, academic support, moot court coaching.

0					
2010	2009	2008	2007		
65	58	57	74		
\$103,540	\$102,116	\$97,091	\$95,945		
\$100,000	\$96,500	\$95,000	\$92,000		
\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000		
\$187,000	\$165,000	\$151,500	\$195,500		
64	75	80	79		
\$107,990	\$105,580	\$100,157	\$95,355		
\$100,000	\$100,000	\$97,000	\$92,000		
\$61,000	\$59,000	\$55,000	\$50,000		
\$199,716	\$200,000	\$186,300	\$178,250		
129	133	137	153		
\$105,749	\$104,100	\$98,817	\$95,631		
\$100,000	\$98,000	\$95,000	\$92,000		
\$60,000	\$59,000	\$55,000	\$50,000		
\$199,716	\$200,000	\$186,300	\$195,500		
15	11	1	6		
	65 \$103,540 \$100,000 \$60,000 \$187,000 64 \$107,990 \$100,000 \$61,000 \$199,716 129 \$105,749 \$100,000 \$60,000 \$199,716	6558\$103,540\$102,116\$100,000\$96,500\$60,000\$60,000\$187,000\$165,0006475\$107,990\$105,580\$100,000\$100,000\$61,000\$59,000\$199,716\$200,000\$105,749\$104,100\$100,000\$98,000\$60,000\$59,000\$60,000\$59,000\$199,716\$200,000	655857\$103,540\$102,116\$97,091\$100,000\$96,500\$95,000\$60,000\$60,000\$60,000\$187,000\$165,000\$151,500647580\$107,990\$105,580\$100,157\$100,000\$100,000\$97,000\$61,000\$59,000\$55,000\$199,716\$200,000\$186,300\$105,749\$104,100\$98,817\$100,000\$98,000\$55,000\$60,000\$59,000\$55,000\$199,716\$200,000\$186,300		



Salary ranges

Directors

### Director Salary by Region

		ry by hegi	2010		2009	2008	2007
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median
New York	City	& Long Isla	and				·
Average	5	\$154,443	¢100.000	Ф100 <b>7</b> 1 с	\$151,200	\$143,825	\$136,563
Median	9	\$152,500	\$120,000	\$199,716	\$144,500	\$134,500	\$129,500
Northeast	ern (	excluding N	New York Ci	ty and Long	Island)		
Average	13	\$111,839	\$61,000	\$180,000	\$110,360	\$107,421	\$106,967
Median	19	\$105,000	<b>301,000</b>	\$180,000	\$107,500	\$107,500	\$105,000
Mid Atlan	tic				ſ	T	
Average	26	\$104,106	\$68,000	\$150,000	\$100,667	\$101,173	\$97,757
Median	20	\$100,000	<b>\$00,000</b>	\$130,000	\$98,000	\$95,500	\$90,000
Great Lak	es/Up	oper Midwe	st		ſ	T	
Average	<u>e</u> 24	\$108,116	\$70.000	\$187,000	\$104,069	\$98,879	\$94,502
Median	24	\$99,500	- \$70,000	\$107,000	\$97,000	\$95,000	\$92,000
Southwest	t & S	outh Centra	al		ſ	T	
Average	20	\$93,800	\$61,000	\$130,500	\$92,150	\$86,590	\$86,515
Median	20	\$88,500	<b>301,000</b>	\$130,300	\$87,500	\$80,000	\$79,250
Southeast	T				ſ	T	
Average	16	\$92,763	\$60,000	\$140,000	\$97,477	\$85,906	\$85,200
Median	10	\$87,250	<b>\$00,000</b>	\$140,000	\$100,000	\$82,750	\$83,000
Northwest	t & G	reat Plains			ſ		
Average	6	\$97,445	\$65,000	\$136,000	\$101,733	\$90,100	\$85,933
Median	0	\$89,334	<b>\$0</b> 5,000	\$130,000	\$86,667	\$78,500	\$74,000
Far West	•						
Average	22	\$113,994	\$65,000	\$165,000	\$112,791	\$105,288	\$100,478
Median	44	\$106,500	<b>a</b> 00,000	\$109 <b>,</b> 000	\$116,000	\$100,000	\$95,000
		N-number	Question of salary resp	6 by Question		togony	
		rv-number	of safary resp	onses for 201	o in each ca	legory	

			2010	0	2009	2008	2007
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median
Urban	_						
Average	01	\$109,847	фсо ооо	¢100 710	\$107,511	\$101,234	\$97,850
Median	91	\$60,000		\$199,716	\$100,000	\$96,100	\$92,500
Suburban							
Average	36	\$96,043	¢CE 000	¢1 40 000	\$96,844	\$94,413	\$92,015
Median	30	\$94,500	\$65,000	\$140,000	\$95,000	\$94,000	\$92,000
Rural	_						
Average	5	\$105,333	<b>ФОС СС7</b>	\$136,000	\$97,778	\$88,525	\$83,800
Median	9	\$99,000	\$86,667	\$130,000	\$95,500	\$89,500	\$84,000
Question 7 by Question 49							
		N=number	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	tegory	

### Director Salary by Geographical Setting

### Director Salary by Institution Type

			2010		2009	2008	2007		
		Average/			Average/	Average/	Average/		
	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median		
Public									
Average	57	\$101,916	ФСО ООО	Ф1 0 <b>7</b> ДДД	\$101,464	\$94,402	\$91,712		
Median	97	\$93,000	\$60,000	\$187,000	\$93,000	\$90,000	\$86,500		
Private									
Average	75	\$108,949	<b>\$</b> .01,000	¢ ( 1 0 0 0	ec1 000	ф100 <b>7</b> 1 <i>с</i>	\$106,970	\$103,017	\$98,787
Median	75	\$104,000	\$61,000	\$199,716	\$104,000	\$99,000	\$95,000		
Question 8 by Question 49									
		N=number	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	tegory			

Director Salary by First-year Class Size											
			2010		2009	2008	2007				
		Average/			Average/	Average/	Average/				
r	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median				
<100 Stude	<100 Students										
Average	-	\$97,733	<b>ФОГ 000</b>	¢196.000	\$92,945	\$87,100	\$83,267				
Median	5	\$89,000	\$85,000	\$136,000	\$85,834	\$81,500	\$78,500				
101-150 St	uden	$\mathbf{ts}$									
Average	17	\$103,034	<b>001 500</b>	¢150.000	\$100,206	\$96,964	\$93,000				
Median	17	\$99,000	\$81,500	\$150,000	\$96,000	\$91,000	\$87,000				
151-200 St	uden	ts									
Average		\$95,380	ФС1 000	¢140.000	\$97,481	\$94,536	\$91,675				
Median	28	\$90,700	\$61,000	\$140,000	\$95,000	\$91,700	\$84,805				
201-250 St	uden	ts									
Average	38	\$106,156	фс <b>г</b> 000	¢179.000	\$107,283	\$98,422	\$93,429				
Median	38	\$106,600	\$65,000	\$172,000	\$110,190	\$99,000	\$94,000				
251-300 St	uden	ts									
Average	15	\$97,813	фс <b>г</b> 000	ф1 F0 000	\$91,918	\$94,285	\$88,967				
Median	15	\$88,900	\$65,000	\$150,000	\$88,000	\$90,000	\$85,750				
>300 Stude	ents										
Average	90	\$123,047	ФСО 000	Ф100 <b>7</b> 1 <i>0</i>	\$118,405	\$109,004	\$111,560				
Median	29	\$125,000	\$60,000	\$199,716	\$114,000	\$107,000	\$105,000				
	Question 9 by Question 49										
		N=number	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	tegory					

#### **Director Salary by First-year Class Size**

Director Salary by Tears Since J.D.										
			2010		2009	2008	2007			
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median			
0-5 Years*	0-5 Years*									
Average	0	*	*	*	*	\$76,000	\$82,500			
Median	U	*			*	\$76,000	\$82,500			
6-10 Years										
Average	7	\$82,167	\$65,000	\$105,000	\$84,317	\$80,143	\$79,751			
Median	1	\$84,500	\$69,000	\$105,000	\$84,084	\$70,000	\$70,000			
11-15 Year	's									
Average	24	\$95,752	\$61.000	\$140,000	\$93,373	\$86,615	\$81,583			
Median	24	\$94,500	\$61,000	\$140,000	\$95,000	\$85,000	\$79,000			
16-20 Year	s						1			
Average	26	\$96,938	\$61,000	\$138,000	\$100,626	\$99,018	\$91,541			
Median	20	\$92,500	\$01,000	\$130,000	\$100,000	\$96,000	\$92,000			
21-25 Year	s				ſ	T				
Average	30	\$104,325	\$60,000	\$146,000	\$100,870	\$93,092	\$92,121			
Median	30	\$100,600	<b>\$00,000</b>	\$140,000	\$98,000	\$93,500	\$91,500			
26+ Years										
Average	44	\$122,137	\$65,000	\$199,716	\$120,105	\$115,401	\$110,692			
Median	44	\$120,000	\$69,000	\$199,710	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$111,500			
	Question 3 by Question 49									
	N=number of salary responses for 2010 in each category									
		* No resp	onses in the	0-5 category f	for 2009 or 2	010				

#### **Director Salary by Years Since J.D.**

Director Salary by Years of Law School Teaching										
			2010		2009	2008	2007			
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median			
0-5 Years	0-5 Years									
Average	10	\$87,885	<b>#65</b> 000	¢100.000	\$92,333	\$88,714	\$84,179			
Median	16	\$88,334	\$65,000	\$120,000	\$95,000	\$90,000	\$85,000			
6-10 Years	5									
Average	36	\$94,920	\$61,000	\$140,000	\$89,186	\$86,951	\$82,984			
Median	30	\$94,375	\$140,000	\$85,539	\$85,500	\$81,931				
11-15 Year	rs									
Average	25	\$101,247	\$61,000	¢1 Q7 000	\$106,317	\$99,544	\$92,615			
Median	20	\$92,000	<b>\$01,000</b>	\$187,000	\$100,000	\$98,600	\$94,300			
16-20 Year	ſS									
Average	27	\$110,039	\$60,000	\$150,000	\$107,209	\$102,832	\$95,891			
Median	21	\$115,000	<b>\$00,000</b>	<b>\$150,000</b>	\$112,000	\$100,000	\$97,500			
21-25 Year	rs									
Average	16	\$128,609	\$85,000	\$180,000	\$123,773	\$115,445	\$114,813			
Median	10	\$123,000	\$89,000	\$180,000	\$122,000	\$122,000	\$112,500			
26+ Years										
Average	- 11	\$137,647	\$88,000	\$199,716	\$136,840	\$133,101	\$133,455			
Median	11	\$132,000	900,UUU	\$199,710	\$129,750	\$130,000	\$130,000			
		<b>N</b> 7 1	•	4 by Question						
	N=number of salary responses for 2010 in each category									

#### **Director Salary by Years of Law School Teaching**

21200001		<u>i j %j 1001</u>		Current I		0000	2007
		I	2010		2009	2008	2007
		Average/			Average/	Average/	Average/
	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median
0-5 Years							
Average	<b>E</b> 4	\$95,765	<b>ድር1 በበበ</b>	¢1 07 000	\$97,155	\$92,492	\$89,920
Median	54	\$93,875	\$61,000	\$187,000	\$95,000	\$90,000	\$85,000
6-10 Years							
Average	34	\$106,163	¢70.000	¢100.000	\$103,260	\$97,267	\$93,908
Median	34	\$100,000	\$70,000	\$180,000	\$102,000	\$94,500	\$91,000
11-15 Year	s						
Average	15	\$107,487	Ф <b>7</b> 8 соо	¢150.000	\$109,638	\$109,328	\$100,235
Median	15	\$101,200	\$78,699	\$150,000	\$120,000	\$115,000	\$102,000
16+ Years							
Average		\$125,152	<u>ቀርስ ስስስ</u>	¢100 710	\$120,888	\$109,288	\$104,577
Median	28	\$123,500	\$60,000	\$199,716	\$118,000	\$107,500	\$100,000
			Question	5 by Question	n 49		
		N=number	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	tegory	

#### **Director Salary by Years Directing Current Program**

		ry by Starr	2010		2009	2008	2007			
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median			
Tenured o	r ten	ure-track fo	or LRW facu	lty (answer	s a and b)					
Average	8	\$101,925	<b>\$\$\$</b> 000	Ф1 F0 000	\$101,843	\$92,971	\$95,333			
Median	0	\$92,000	\$82,000	\$150,000	\$95,000	\$91,400	\$92,000			
Full-time nontenure-track										
Average	E A	\$101,194	ФСО ООО	\$187,000	\$99,452	\$92,560	\$88,132			
Median	54	\$95,750	\$60,000	φ107 <b>,000</b>	\$95,000	\$90,000	\$85,000			
Part-time										
Average		\$109,000	<b>ФОТ 000</b>	¢100.000	\$100,500	\$95,333	\$90,667			
Median	2	\$109,000	\$95,000	\$123,000	\$95,000	\$83,000	\$80,000			
Adjuncts										
Average	10	\$107,955	<b>#65 000</b>	¢100 710	\$115,004	\$109,443	\$104,117			
Median	16	\$104,000	\$65,000	\$199,716	\$118,000	\$109,700	\$100,000			
Complex H	Iybri	d								
Average	<b>F</b> 1	\$110,985	ф <u>до осо</u>	¢179.000	\$107,638	\$104,179	\$101,886			
Median	51	\$100,570	\$70,000	\$172,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$95,000			
			Question 1	0 by Questio	n 49					

#### **Director Salary by Staffing Model**

*N*=number of salary responses for 2010 in each category



Director Salary by Director Type											
			2010		2009	2008	2007				
		Average/			Average/	Average/	Average/				
	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median				
Tenured, p	orima	ary respons	ibility is LR	W							
Average	27	\$127,771	<b>004 500</b>	ф100 <b>7</b> 1 <i>с</i>	\$124,156	\$118,686	\$117,353				
Median	27	\$126,000	\$84,500	\$199,716	\$125,000	\$121,000	\$116,000				
Untenured tenure track, primary responsibility is LRW											
Average	10	\$100,890	<b>*</b> ~~ ~~ ~	<b>\$140.000</b>	\$97,411	\$95,700	\$89,933				
Median	16	\$95,000	\$82,000	\$146,000	\$95,000	\$95,000	\$87,500				
Nontenure-track, primary responsibility is LRW											
Average		\$96,180	<b>*</b> • • • • • •	\$1 <b>5</b> 2.000	\$91,789	\$86,523	\$83,246				
Median	53	\$93,000	\$60,000	\$172,000	\$88,500	\$84,500	\$82,000				
Administr	ator	or faculty, p	orimary res	ponsibility r	not LRW		•				
Average	-	\$131,667			\$134,667	\$113,733	\$148,333				
Median	3	\$125,000	\$120,000	\$150,000	\$140,000	\$120,000	\$160,000				
Administr	ator,	primary re	sponsibility	is LRW							
Average		\$99,750			\$99,500	\$89,500	\$89,500				
Median	4	\$92,000	\$75,000	\$140,000	\$91,500	\$89,000	\$89,000				
Clinical te	nure	or clinical	tenure trac	k							
Average		\$101,332			\$101,698	\$101,018	\$100,708				
Median	17	\$100,000	\$70,000	\$150,000	\$100,000	\$93,500	\$92,500				
Other											
Average	10	\$108,512	<b>\$</b> .01.000		\$122,464	\$106,541	\$93,286				
Median	12	\$111,500	\$61,000	\$187,000	\$123,000	\$120,000	\$95,000				
		· · · · · ·	Question 4	5 by Question	n 49	· · · · · ·					
		N=number				tegory					
		N=number		onses for 201		tegory					

#### **Director Salary by Director Type**

47

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. The same benefits as tenure-track faculty	118	103	117	131
b. The same benefits as nontenure-track faculty	30	26	24	22
If the answer is not a or b, please mark all of the bene, receives.	fits belo	w that t	the dire	ctor
c. Health insurance and related benefits	19	16	24	14
d. Life insurance	19	16	24	14
e. Contributions to retirement	21	18	24	13
f. Other	4	4	5	3
g. None	0	0	0	0

#### 50. What personnel benefits does the director receive?

51. Past surveys have found a discrepancy between salaries paid tenure-track faculty and LRW directors. You may wish to inquire to determine the situation at your law school. Please provide us with your best estimate of the difference between the current annual base salary of the director and the annual base salary of an entry-level tenure-track faculty member at your law school.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. The director earns <u>more</u> than the new tenure-track faculty member	58	53	54	51
Average difference	\$28,101	\$27,046	\$26,664	\$26,384
Median difference	\$28,000	\$28,000	22,500	\$21,000
Minimum difference	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
Maximum difference	\$60,000	\$75,000	\$64,000	\$80,000
b. The director earns roughly the <u>same</u> as the new tenure-track faculty member	22	16	22	25
c. The director earns <u>less</u> than the new tenure-track faculty member	49	47	55	53
Average difference	\$24,132	\$22,597	\$19,988	\$21,378
Median difference	\$21,250	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Minimum difference	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$5,000
Maximum difference	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
d. Don't know	34	29	28	26
e. N/A	0	0	0	2

Directors

52. What is your best estimate of the difference between the annual base salary of the director and the annual base salary of an entry-level clinician at your law school?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. The director earns <u>more</u> than the new clinician	63	62	68	64
Average difference	\$28,881	\$29,987	\$28,683	\$28,711
Median difference	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$27,500	\$20,000
Minimum difference	\$5,000	\$6,400	\$5,000	\$3,500
Maximum difference	\$70,000	\$90,000	\$75,000	\$100,000
b. The director earns roughly the <u>same</u> as the new clinician	9	8	5	11
c. The director earns <u>less</u> than the new clinician	11	11	10	10
Average difference	\$15,500	\$13,833	\$12,438	\$13,750
Median difference	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$12,500	\$12,500
Minimum difference	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$10,000
Maximum difference	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
d. Clinicians are paid tenure-track salaries	12	14	15	15
e. No clinicians at my school	7	5	7	8
f. Don't know	57	45	56	51

53. For the current academic year, please indicate the percentage of time the director devoted to the following activities. *Entries of zero are excluded from averages; first line is # of responses.* 

		<u> </u>		
	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Directorship duties, such as administering, training LRW faculty members	151	133	139	156
Average time spent	28.9%	28.7%	28.3%	28.8%
Minimum time spent	5%	5%	5%	5%
Maximum time spent	75%	80%	100%	100%
b. Teaching students in the required program	136	119	134	150
Average time spent	39.5%	40.1%	33.9%	35.9%
Minimum time spent	10%	5%	0%	0%
Maximum time spent	80%	80%	80%	80%
c. Teaching outside the required program	80	71	104	133
Average time spent	22.2%	24.2%	19.5%	17.4%
Minimum time spent	5%	5%	0%	0%
Maximum time spent	65%	90%	80%	85%
d. Service to the law school	140	127	131	151
Average time spent	12.9%	12.3%	12.8%	13.1%
Minimum time spent	5%	5%	5%	0%
Maximum time spent	30%	30%	30%	45%
e. Academic Support	29	26	69	83
Average time spent	9.0%	9.6%	4.5%	6.1%
Minimum time spent	5%	5%	0%	0%
Maximum time spent	30%	30%	35%	80%
f. Scholarship	84	72	95	111
Average time spent	11.5%	11.7%	10.0%	9.6%
Minimum time spent	5%	5%	0%	0%
Maximum time spent	40%	40%	55%	80%
g. Other activities	55	40	60	76
Average time spent	12.8%	11.5%	7.6%	5.7%
Minimum time spent	5%	5%	0%	0%
Maximum time spent	65%	55%	65%	25%

Directors



54. During the current academic year, please indicate the director's workload in the required program by filling in the chart below. Averages do not include responses of "zero." See chart next page.

				Fall Se	mester		Spring Semester			
		[	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	# of students taught at least	Avg.	39.61	38.54	38.96	36.31	37.77	36.84	37.71	36.70
	weekly in the	Min.	2	10	10	10	8	10	10	10
	required program.	Max.	300	200	220	144*	300	195	195	160
b.	In-class hours of	Avg.	3.27	3.19	3.27	3.02	3.01	2.97	2.99	2.90
~.	teaching each	Min.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	week	Max.	8	7	15	7.5	7	10	10	7.5
c.	# of major	Avg.	3.14	3.10	3.27	3.24	2.85	2.80	2.82	2.76
0.	assignments ( $\geq 5$ pages)	Min.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Max.	8	8	8	8	9	9	15	9
d.	# of minor	Avg.	3.64	3.79	4.10	4.01	3.16	3	3.48	2.99
u.	assignments ( <	Min.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	5 pages)	Max.	18	20	20	20	18	18	20	15
e.	Total # of pages	Avg.	1189	1170	1189	1201	1272	1282	1143	1165
С.	of student work	Min.	150	160	30	30	40	276	30	30
	read per term	Max.	8370	8370	7600	7600	8100	8100	4261***	4261
f.	Total hours in	Avg.	38.84	37.70	40.54	41.76	37.86	35.82	37.65	40.97
	conference required or	Min.	2	2	2	2	6	5	5	8
	strongly recommended	Max.	150	150	220**	300	200	200	220**	300
g.	Total hours	Avg.	47.33	49.68	50.00	46.26	48.71	48.82	47.3	42.44
	preparing major research and	Min.	2	5	5	5	8	5	2	4
	writing assignments	Max.	300	300	300	250	250	250	250	250
h.	Total hours	Avg.	52.02	51.24	65.01	57.58	48.12	50.10	50.25	50.89
	preparing for class (excluding	Min.	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2
	hours reported above in g)	Max.	180	180	180**	280	150	150	168**	168**

Directors



# 55. Did the director teach courses other than required writing courses in the current academic year?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, only academic support	4	4	4	5
b. Yes, courses other than academic support	87	77	94	93
c. No	65	55	54	57
d. N/A	8	9	8	3

# 56. If the director taught courses in the current academic year other than required writing courses:

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. How many courses did the director teach?				
Total responses	88	80	97	95
Average number of courses	1.84	1.90	1.92	1.88
Minimum number of courses	1	1	1	1
Maximum number of courses	5	6	5	6
b. How many of those courses were on legal w	riting, draft	ing, or adv	anced adv	ocacy?
Total responses	45	41	45	49
Average number of courses	1.62	1.41	1.58	1.39
Minimum number of courses	1	1	1	1
Maximum number of courses	5	5	7	4
c. How many of those courses were courses on drafting or oral advocacy?	subjects ot	her than le	gal writin	g,
Total responses	60	54	74	66
Average number of courses	1.43	1.73	1.72	1.62
Minimum number of courses	1	1	1	1
Maximum number of courses	5	5	7	4
d. What were the subject areas of the non- writing courses?	various	various	various	various
e. How many total credit hours for other than	entry-level	courses?		
Total responses	79	77	93	91
Average number of hours	4.66	4.62	5.04	4.61
Minimum number of hours	1	1	1	1
Maximum number of hours	15	15	13	16
f. Did the director receive additional compens	ation?	I	I	
Total responses	130	115	123	112
Yes	22	19	23	22
No	108	96	100	90
g. How much additional compensation?				
Total responses	17	14	16	14
Average compensation	\$8,988	\$12,107	\$9,456	\$8,421
Median compensation	\$8,000	\$11,500	\$7,800	\$10,000
Minimum compensation	\$2,500	\$4,400	\$3,000	\$3,800
Maximum compensation	\$18,000	\$24,000	\$25,000	\$18,000

Directors

	Full-time professionals				Part-time professionals not enrolled in the law school				Adjuncts			Law School Graduate Students			Teaching or Research Assistants					
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total Schools Responding (Female):	125	109	125	125	21	18*	23	22	79	65	65	71	8	6	6	11	86	76	91	94
Females Supervised	485	433	465	467	42	40	52	50	623	510	591	628	47	38	42	74	782	548	696	631
Average number of females	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.7	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.3	7.9	7.9	9.1	8.8	5.9	4.5	7.0	6.7	9.1	4.5	7.6	6.7
% female in category	71%	72%	69%	70%	75%	85%	81%	72%	54%	54%	54%	54%	60%	62%	63%	69%	55%	53%	58%	57%
Total Schools Responding (Male):	92	80	97	91	12	7	9	15	65	54	56	57	4	2	4	9	83	74	75	70
Males Supervised	201	168	207	197	14	7	12	19	521	429	510	531	31	23	25**	33**	650	483	497	475
Average number of males	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.2	1.2	1	1.3	1.3	8.0	7.9	9.1	9.3	7.8	11.5	6.3	3.7	7.8	3	6.6	6.8
% male in category	29%	28%	31%	30%	25%	15%	19%	28%	46%	46%	46%	46%	40%	38%	37%	31%	45%	47%	42%	43%
Total faculty members in category	686	601	672	664	56	47	64	69	1144	939	1101	1159	78	61	67	107	1432	1031	1193	1106
* Erro	neous d	ata fro	m 200 <mark>9</mark>	report o	correcte	ed														

# 57. How many people does the director supervise and what are their genders? "Full-time professionals" includes LRW faculty, writing specialists, academic support personnel, etc.

58. Does the director participate in the following activities either as part of core job responsibilities or as an additional activity with additional compensation? *Please mark all that apply and fill in the approximate amount of additional compensation.* 

	Activity	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Coach in-	Core Job Responsibility	12	13	16	17
house moot	Additional Activity	27	20	20	24
court	N/A or No response	106	74	101	91
teams	Additional Compensation	\$3,677 avg. (2 responses: \$3,854 and \$3,500)	No responses	No responses	\$3,500 (1 response)
b. Coach	Core Job Responsibility	11	10	11	12
outside	Additional Activity	17	16	22	23
moot court	N/A or No response	114	78	104	95
teams	Additional Compensation	\$1,667 avg. (3 responses, 2 of \$1,000 and 1 of \$3,000)	\$1,667 avg. (3 responses, 2 of \$1,000 and 1 of \$3,000)	\$1,000 avg. (2 responses, both \$1,000)	\$1,250 avg. (2 responses: \$1,500 and \$1,000)
c. Coach	Core Job Responsibility	1	2	5	4
outside	Additional Activity	11	6	7	6
negotiation	N/A or No response	122	88	117	109
& counseling teams	Additional Compensation	<b>\$3,000</b> (1 response)	\$3,000 (1 response)	\$2,000 (1 response)	No responses
d. Faculty	Core Job Responsibility	60	56	64	65
advisor to	Additional Activity	42	34	41	43
students	N/A or No response	50	30	41	36
	Additional Compensation	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses
e. First-year	Core Job Responsibility	81	71	82	79
orientation	Additional Activity	30	29	35	35
	N/A or No response	50	22	38	38
	Additional Compensation	\$2,340 avg. (min. \$500, max. \$4,200) (5 responses)	\$1,375 avg. (min \$500, max. \$3,000) (4 responses)	\$1,600 avg. (min. \$500, max. \$3,000) (5 responses)	\$833 (avg.) (min. \$500, max. \$1,500) (3 responses)

	Activity	2010	2009	2008	2007
f. Academic	Core Job Responsibility	14	9	15	18
Support	Additional Activity	25	21	30	33
	N/A or No response	103	74	76	78
	Additional Compensation	\$3,833 avg. (3 responses, \$500, \$3,000, and \$8,000)	\$5,500 avg. (2 responses, \$500 and \$10,500)	\$500 (1 response)	\$1,750 avg. (min. \$500, max. \$4,000) (4 responses)
g. Law	Core Job Responsibility	10	10	7	7
Review	Additional Activity	13	14	23	25
advisor	N/A or No response	114	77	104	89
	Additional Compensation	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses
h. Writing	Core Job Responsibility	14	10	9	10
center	Additional Activity	3	4	6	5
	N/A or No response	118	82	112	101
	Additional Compensation	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses
i. Other	Core Job Responsibility	39	28	28	28
activities	Additional Activity	30	28	27	26
	N/A or No response	72	45	73	64
	Additional Compensation	\$10,014 avg. (min. \$500, max \$30,000) (7 responses)	\$7,560 avg. (min. \$500, max \$30,000) (10 responses)	\$5,511 avg. (min. \$500, max. \$18,000) (9 responses)	\$8,200 avg. (min. \$4,000, max. \$20,000) (8 responses)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, as a voting member	138	125	136	140
b. Yes, as a non-voting member	8	5	9	6
Which Committees? (Please mark all that apply.)	-			
Admissions Committee	39	33	36	31
Appointments Committee	26	18	25	23
Clerkship Committee	10	11	11	10
Curriculum Committee	63	57	53	55
Moot Court Committee	19	20	20	19
Library Committee	19	22	24	20
LRW Committee	37	30	34	32
Technology Committee	14	15	14	16
Other	95	82	91	88
c. No	10	7	9	11
d. Don't know	8	8	6	2

#### 59. Does the director serve on faculty committees?





### 60. If the director is <u>not</u> on tenure track, may the director attend faculty meetings?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, as a voting member on <u>all</u> matters	16	15	18	16
b. Yes, as a voting member on all matters <u>except</u> hiring, promotion or tenure	61	51	54	56
c. Yes, as a <u>non-voting</u> member	23	23	25	28
d. No	4	2	5	5
e. Don't know	22	21	26	28

### 61. May a clinician who is <u>not</u> on tenure track attend faculty meetings?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, as a voting member on <u>all</u> matters	17	16	16	13
b. Yes, as a voting member on all matters <u>except</u> hiring, promotion or tenure	79	68	72	73
c. Yes, as a <u>non-voting</u> member	34	30	33	28
d. No	6	3	5	6
e. Don't know	21	21	26	28





	on ten	Is ector ure ck?	b. Is the	director			the and ten	sam l qua	ship of e quality ntity as track
	Yes	No	<u>required</u> to produce scholarship?	<u>expected</u> to produce scholarship?	<u>encouraged</u> to produce scholarship?	<u>neither</u> required nor expected to produce scholarship	Yes	No	Not specified
2010	62	102	56	60	87	*	62	20	27
2009	57	88	49	50	75	*	50	14	27
2008	64	96	42	47	72	*	48	13	23
2007	63	96	50	28	*	78	60	18	37

# 62. Does the director have an obligation to produce written scholarship?

# 63. Is the hiring process for the director the same as the process for tenure-track faculty?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, <u>because</u> the director is tenure track	57	53	60	60
b. No, <u>although</u> the director is tenure track	4	2	2	1
c. Yes, <u>although</u> the director is not tenure track	29	25	29	32
d. No, there is a <u>different</u> process	60	52	56	58
e. Other	13	13	13	7

### 64. Is the director eligible for leave? Please mark all that apply.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Paid sabbaticals	66	62	63	63
b. Unpaid sabbaticals	30	34	29	31
c. Leave	60	60	56	52
d. Reduced load	59	52	49	43
e. Other	19	13	16	12

### VIII. Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

This section describes those full-time legal writing faculty who are not also directors.

## 65. What is the employment state of the full-time faculty members in your program? *Please mark all that apply.*

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Tenured or tenure-track	38	33	35	30
b. ABA Standard 405(c)	51	43	40	34
c. Contracts of 3 years in length or more	58	52	56	55
d. Contracts of 2 years in length	21	21	19	18
e. Contracts of 1 year in length	64	55	59	58
f. ABA Standard 405(c) track	18	15	13	11



# 66. If the LRW faculty members are on contracts, is there a limit to the total number of years they may teach at the law school? (Is the position "capped"?)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. No, there is no limit	139	127	130	124
b. Yes, there is a limit	10	7	13	13
Average (years)	3.25	2.50	3.23	3.13
Minimum (years)	2	2	1	1
Maximum (years)	10	3	7	7

Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members
	First term	Second term	Third term	Fourth term
2010 Total Responses	117	112	106	97
Average	1.51	2.08	2.88	3.46
Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	5	7	7	7
2009 Total Responses	107	104	98	92
Average	1.39	1.98	2.80	3.37
Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	3	7	7	7
2008 Total Responses	112	109	104	94
Average	1.43	1.88	2.63	3.33
Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	5	7	7	7
2007 Total Responses	106	104	98	90
Average	1.37	1.79	2.46	3.1
Minimum	1	1	1	1
Maximum	5	7	7	7

### 67. If your program is "uncapped," what are the lengths of typical contract terms?

# 68. What faculty title do the LRW faculty members have in official materials (publications, catalogues, signs, etc.) at your law school? *Please mark all that apply.*

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Professor, associate professor, or assistant professor	45	39	40	43
b. Professor, associate professor, or assistant professor <u>of</u> <u>legal writing</u>	54	47	47	44
c. <u>Visiting</u> professor or visiting professor of legal writing	14	9	7	5
d. <u>Clinical</u> professor, clinical associate professor, or clinical assistant professor	18	16	17	18
e. Lecturer or senior lecturer	19	17	18	18
f. Instructor	25	21	25	27
g. Assistant or associate dean	2	2	1	1
h. Other	29	26	24	20

Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. <u>Comparable</u> to most non-writing faculty offices	120	109	104	101
b. <u>Smaller</u> than most non-writing faculty offices	40	39	44	41
c. <u>More desirable</u> location than most non-writing faculty offices	6	2	3	3
d. <u>Less desirable</u> location than most non-writing faculty offices	37	34	40	38
e. Offices are <u>integrated</u> among most non-writing faculty offices	72	70	65	53
f. Offices are <u>segregated</u> from most non-writing faculty offices	45	37	42	38

69. What is the size and location of LRW offices? *Please mark all that apply.* 

70. Are there written standards or criteria for evaluating LRW faculty for retention and promotion and, if so, who does the evaluation?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, the <u>same standards</u> as for all faculty	28	24	24	22
b. Yes, <u>specific standards</u> for LRW faculty, but they are very <u>similar</u> to those for tenure-track faculty.	38	31	29	24
c. Yes, <u>specific</u> standards for LRW faculty only, substantially <u>different</u> from those for tenure-track faculty	55	52	56	52
d. Standards are in development	17	19	20	19
e. No	30	25	30	34
f. Evaluation is done by the director alone	9	11	10	9
g. Evaluation is done by the director and a committee	54	47	47	46
h. Evaluation is done by the director and a dean	40	36	41	35
i. Evaluation is done by a committee or dean, excluding the director	31	28	31	29
j. Another method is used	24	27	24	23

Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

71. a) Please indicate the number, gender, and race of new full-time LRW faculty teaching for the first time at your law school during the current academic year (2009-2010).

Note: This question was re-worded in 2007 and again in 2008. This table reports data collected, but inadvertently not reported, in 2008 and 2009.

	2009-2010	2008-2009	2007-2008	2006-2007
Female	95	105	100	104
Male	54	48	67	53
Caucasian	116	119	129	121
African-American	8	14	14	13
Hispanic	3	5	3	4
Asian-American	5	2	3	5
Native American	0	0	0	*
Multi-racial	0	0	2	*
Other	1	1	1	2

\* In the 2007 Survey, the question did not ask for Native American or Multi-racial data. It also included an "Unspecified" category for which there were 17 responses.

# 71. b) Please indicate the number, gender, and race of <u>all</u> full-time LRW faculty teaching at your law school during the current academic year (2009-2010)

Note: This question was new in 2008. This table reports data collected, but inadvertently not reported, in 2008 and 2009.

	2009-2010	2008-2009	2007-2008
Female	697	621	539
Male	281	224	229
Caucasian	763	660	587
African-American	55	39	43
Hispanic	18	13	7
Asian-American	21	16	11
Native American	3	3	0
Multi-racial	4	2	5
Other	5	6	4

72. If you hired new full-time LRW faculty in the current academic year, what forms of advertising did you use? *Please mark all forms that apply.* 

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Legal Writing or Dircon listervs	56	54	58	58
b. AALS registry	33	38	38	37
c. Chronicle of Higher Education	19	18	12	13
d. Periodicals with national circulation	17	16	16	13
e. Periodicals with local circulation	34	41	44	50
f. Law school placement office	23	21	23	25
g. Other	33	26	30	25



# 73. Who has formal, primary responsibility for hiring members of the legal writing faculty?

		2010	2009	2008	2007
a.	A dean	10	9	8	6
b.	A dean upon the recommendation of the legal writing director	20	22	23	23
c.	A dean upon the recommendation of a faculty committee composed entirely or almost entirely of members of the non-writing faculty	24	20	22	20
d.	A dean upon the recommendation of a faculty committee composed entirely or almost entirely of members of the legal writing faculty	12	13	14	15
e.	A faculty committee composed entirely or almost entirely of members of the non-writing faculty	3	2	3	2
f.	The faculty as a whole	25	23	24	24
g.	The legal writing director	9	6	9	6
h.	A committee composed entirely or almost entirely of members of the legal writing faculty	1	2	1	2
i.	The faculty as a whole upon the recommendation of the dean	2	1	1	1
j.	The faculty as a whole upon the recommendation of a faculty committee composed entirely or almost entirely of members of the non-writing faculty	34	32	26	19
k.	The faculty as a whole upon the recommendation of the legal writing director	2	1	1	3
1.	The faculty as a whole upon the recommendation of a committee composed entirely or almost entirely of members of the writing faculty	3	2	1	2
m.	Other	20	18	25	28

Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

### 74. For the current academic year, what would your entry-level annual salary be for a newly hired LRW faculty member?<sup>3</sup>

	-		
2010	2009	2008	2007
35	27	22	21
92	90	98	96
\$60,145	\$59,653	\$56,380	\$53,145
\$58,000	\$57,750	\$55,000	\$50,000
\$20,000	\$40,000	\$30,000	\$30,000
\$97,000	\$95,000	\$90,000	\$89,000
92	87	94	87
\$64,378	\$63,371	\$60,712	\$56,880
\$61,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$55,000
\$20,000	\$26,000	\$30,000	\$35,000
\$110,000	\$110,000	\$90,000	\$90,000
80	74	81	80
\$67,256	\$67,919	\$64,085	\$60,142
\$65,000	\$68,500	\$65,000	\$57,000
\$20,000	\$28,000	\$30,000	\$35,000
\$110,000	\$110,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
	35 92 \$60,145 \$58,000 \$20,000 \$97,000 \$97,000 \$92 \$64,378 \$61,000 \$20,000 \$110,000 \$110,000 \$110,000 \$110,000 \$20,000 \$110,000	35 27   92 90   \$60,145 \$59,653   \$58,000 \$57,750   \$20,000 \$40,000   \$97,000 \$95,000   \$97,000 \$95,000   \$64,378 \$63,371   \$61,000 \$60,000   \$20,000 \$26,000   \$110,000 \$110,000   \$67,256 \$67,919   \$65,000 \$28,000	35 27 22   92 90 98   \$60,145 \$59,653 \$56,380   \$58,000 \$57,750 \$55,000   \$20,000 \$40,000 \$30,000   \$97,000 \$95,000 \$90,000   \$97,000 \$95,000 \$90,000   \$92 87 94   \$64,378 \$63,371 \$60,712   \$61,000 \$60,000 \$60,000   \$20,000 \$26,000 \$30,000   \$110,000 \$110,000 \$90,000   \$67,256 \$67,919 \$64,085   \$65,000 \$68,500 \$65,000   \$20,000 \$28,000 \$30,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A Note about the Data: Non-numeric responses to questions like this are ignored in generating the averages. Thus, responses of "negotiable," "sensitive information," or "depends on experience" cannot factor into the calculations. For numeric responses that give a range, the mid-point of the range is used for generating this table. Thus, a response of \$60,000-\$70,000 is averaged as if the person entered \$65,000. Numeric responses with approximations or qualifiers are entered without the qualifier. Thus, "approximately \$60,000," "low \$60,000s," "at least \$60,000," and "\$60,000+" are all treated as \$60,000

75. What is the base salary range (excluding summer support, overload teaching, etc.) for current full-time LRW faculty members (excluding the director) in your program and how are salary increases determined?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Number of responses for base salary range	114	100	114	114
Lowest salary	1			
Average lowest salary	\$64,642	\$63,275	\$60,140	\$57,420
Median lowest salary	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$59,500	\$53,500
Minimum lowest salary	\$42,000	\$40,000	\$30,000	\$30,000
Maximum lowest salary	\$120,000	\$105,000	\$105,000	\$150,000
Highest salary				
Average highest salary	\$77,945	\$78,040	\$72,465	\$70,862
Median highest salary	\$70,000	\$73,000	\$68,450	\$65,000
Minimum highest salary	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$40,000	\$38,000
Maximum highest salary	\$157,000	\$157,000	\$157,000	\$150,000
Average salary				
Average salary	\$71,294	\$70,657	\$66,302	\$63,313
Median salary	\$68,000	\$66,750	\$63,000	\$60,000
b. Don't know how salary increases are				
determined (or no answer)	37	36	50	27
c. Salary increases are based on a uniform annual amount or percentage of salary	86	82	81	77
d. Salary increases are based on teaching performance	31	28	29	22
e. Salary increases are based on merit, including factors other than teaching	90	82	82	74



2010 2000 2002 2007										
			2010		2009	2008	2007			
		Average/	7.51		Average/	Average/	Average/			
	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median			
New York City & Long Island										
Average	1	\$55,000	\$55,000 \$	\$55,000	\$55,000	\$57,500	\$57,500*			
Median	1	\$55,000	<i><b>4</b>00,000</i>	φ99,000	\$55,000	\$57,500	\$57,500*			
Northeast	ern (	excluding N	lew York Ci	ty and Long	Island)					
Average	11	\$67,732	Ф <b>г</b> р 000	\$125,000	\$64,175	\$61,650	\$66,182			
Median	11	\$60,000	\$53,000	<b>\$125,000</b>	\$62,750	\$61,000	\$57,000			
Mid Atlan	tic									
Average		\$74,062		<b>\$100,000</b>	\$72,803	\$67,833	\$62,911			
Median	20	\$68,500	\$52,500	\$120,000	\$69,000	\$68,450	\$65,000			
Great Lak	es/Uj	pper Midwe	st							
Average		\$68,756	\$47,000		\$69,310	\$63,674	\$58,416			
Median	26	\$67,000		\$121,500	\$67,500	\$59,500	\$57,500			
Southwest	t & S	outh Centra	.1				·			
Average		\$69,545			\$64,448	\$63,614	\$60,071			
Median	19	\$65,000	\$43,500	\$103,550	\$58,500	\$58,500	\$57,500			
Southeast					. ,		· ·			
Average	10	\$75,092		<b>\$110.000</b>	\$80,400	\$72,696	\$69,531			
Median	13	\$70,000	\$47,500	\$110,000	\$76,250	\$65,500	\$62,000			
Northwest	t <b>&amp;</b> G	reat Plains								
Average		\$73,167			\$64,375	\$63,750	\$59,167			
Median	3	\$72,000	\$52,500	\$95,000	\$58,750	\$57,500	\$47,500			
Far West		· · · ·								
Average	01	\$77,290	<b></b>	\$105 F00	\$74,310	\$66,668	\$64,583			
Median	21	\$78,750	\$46,000	\$107,500	\$75,000	\$65,500	\$60,750			
			Question	6 by Question	n 75					
	N=number of salary responses for 2010 in each category									

#### LRW Faculty Average Salary by Region



			2010		2009	2008	2007			
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median			
Urban										
Average	79	\$71,091	Ф49 <b>го</b> о	Ф101 E00	\$70,113	\$65,028	\$61,488			
Median	73	\$68,550	\$43,500	\$121,500	\$67,500	\$65,000	\$59,525			
Suburban										
Average	32	\$71,454	\$46,000	\$125,000	\$72,363	\$70,196	\$65,801			
Median	32	\$67,000	<b>₹0,000</b>	\$125,000	\$68,575	\$68,500	\$60,750			
Rural										
Average	9	\$72,368	\$49,000	\$95,000	\$69,931	\$65,035	\$62,182			
Median	9	\$70,500	\$49,000	\$95,000	\$69,750	\$63,500	\$60,000			
	Question 7 by Question 75									
	N=number of salary responses for 2010 in each category									

#### LRW Faculty Salary by Geographical Setting

#### LRW Faculty Salary by Institution Type

			2010		2009	2008	2007			
		Average/			Average/	Average/	Average/			
	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median			
Public										
Average	<b>F</b> 4	\$70,040	¢ 4 C 000	¢100.000	\$69,362	\$61,028	\$58,484			
Median	54	\$67,538	\$46,000	\$120,000	\$67,500	\$57,500	\$54,375			
Private										
Average	<u> </u>	\$72,422	¢ 49 500	#195 000	\$72,118	\$64,000	\$60,563			
Median	60	0 <b>\$68,000</b>	\$43,500	\$125,000	\$68,000	\$60,000	\$56,000			
	Question 8 by Question 75									
		N=number	<i>of salary</i> resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	cegory				

			2010		2009	2008	2007				
		Average/			Average/	Average/	Average/				
	N	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	Median	Median				
Tenured or tenure-track for LRW faculty (answers a and b)											
Average	11	\$88,596	Ф <b>71</b> БОО	\$110,000	\$84,836	\$81,034	\$84,300				
Median	11	\$91,700	\$71,500	φ110,000	\$81,405	\$75,310	\$84,000				
Full-time	Full-time nontenure track (answer e)										
Average	58	\$64,253	Ф49 <b>го</b> о	Ф105 500	\$65,469	\$61,649	\$58,346				
Median	99	\$60,000	\$43,500	\$105,500	\$60,375	\$59,500	\$57,000				
<b>Complex</b> H	Iybri	d (answer j	)								
Average	4.4	\$76,051	Ф 4 8 000	Ф195 000	\$74,014	\$71,047	\$66,922				
Median	44	\$71,250	\$48,000	\$125,000	\$71,250	\$67,750	\$64,500				
			Question 1	0 by Question	n 75						
		N=number	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	egory					

### LRW Faculty Salary by Staffing Model

			2010		2009	2008	2007
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median
Tenured o	r ten	ure-track					
Average		\$92,850	<b>ФСО 000</b>	¢105 000	\$90,143	\$82,373	\$78,556
Median	23	\$94,500	\$68,000	\$125,000	\$92,500	\$81,250	\$76,500
ABA Stand	lard	405(c)					
Average	33	\$71,135	\$52,500	\$105,500	\$70,310	\$66,837	\$63,752
Median	<b>00</b>	\$68,550	\$52,500	φ100,000	\$68,550	\$65,750	\$59,525
ABA Stand	lard	405(c) track					
Average	1	4 \$61,000 \$60,000 \$55,000 \$69,000	\$55,000	ኖርፅ በበበ	\$59,000	\$59,300	\$52,633
Median	4		\$55,000	<b>\$09,000</b>	\$59,000	\$60,000	\$50,000
Contracts	of 3 y	years or mo	re				
Average	30	\$65,958	\$47,000	\$95,000	\$68,854	\$61,680	\$62,502
Median	30	\$65,750	<b>\$</b> 47,000	\$99,000	\$67,500	\$58,605	\$57,500
Contracts	of 2 y	years					
Average	5	\$72,250	\$60,000	\$97,500	\$66,250	\$62,600	\$56,611
Median	9	\$68,000	<b>\$00,000</b>	\$97, <b>300</b>	\$66,500	\$60,000	\$56,000
Contracts	of 1 3	year					
Average	18	\$55,194	\$43,500	\$70,000	\$57,263	\$57,563	\$53,091
Median	10	\$56,000	<b>940,000</b>	<b>φ10,000</b>	\$53,250	\$54,750	\$50,000
			Question 6	5 by Question	n 75		
		N=number o	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	egory	

#### LRW Faculty Salary by Faculty Status



LRW Faculty Salary by Director Type

Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

			2010		2009	2008	2007
	N	Average/ Median	Min.	Max.	Average/ Median	Average/ Median	Average/ Median
Tenured,	prima	ary respons	ibility is LR	W			
Average	10	\$76,958			\$77,234	\$73,500	\$65,869
Median	18	\$73,625	\$54,500	\$125,000	\$73,125	\$69,500	\$65,000
Untenure	d ten	ure track, p	rimary resp	onsibility is	s LRW		
Average		\$77,770			\$68,950	\$65,768	\$60,940
Median	10	\$75,750	\$54,500	\$97,000	\$67,500	\$65,000	\$59,500
Nontenur	e-trac		responsibil	ity is LRW	,		
Average		\$63,785			\$65,245	\$61,802	\$57,761
Median	38	\$60,375	- I I	\$105,500	\$43,500	\$57,605	\$55,000
Administr	ator	or faculty, p	orimary res	oonsibility r	not LRW		
Average		\$66.875			\$54,500	\$53,250	\$54,025
Median	4	\$65,000	\$55,000	\$82,500	\$54,500	\$53,250	\$54,025
Administr	ator,	primary re	sponsibility	is LRW			
Average		\$56,997			\$51,000	\$62,980	\$60,780
Median	3	\$58,490	\$52,500	\$60,000	\$51,000	\$60,000	\$57,000
Clinical te	nure	or clinical	tenure trac	k			
Average	10	\$67,635		<b>*</b> ~~~~~~~	\$65,330	\$65,365	\$60,083
Median	12	\$66,025	\$59,500	\$80,000	\$66,500	\$64,500	\$59,750
Other	•	· · · · ·			•		
Average	10	\$81,491			\$81,508	\$66,628	\$61,732
Median	16	\$75,155	\$53,000	\$121,500	\$78,905	\$63,250	\$60,000
	•	· I	Question 4	5 by Question	n 75	<b>I</b>	
		N=number	of salary resp	onses for 201	0 in each cat	egorv	



Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

<i>v</i> 0			C	,
	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, if so, how much is the typical grant?	103	94	100	92
Average amount	\$8,586	\$8,492	\$8,084	\$7,712
Median amount	\$8,500	\$9,000	\$8,000	\$7,500
Minimum amount	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Maximum amount	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000
b. No	41	38	39	37
c. Our school does not generally provide summer research grants to faculty	7	6	9	9
d. Do not know	12	12	9	12

#### 76. Is the LRW faculty member eligible for summer research grants?

77. If you answered "Yes" to the prior question, what method does your school use to determine amounts of summer research grants.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Flat amount	82	75	74	77
b. Percentage of school-year salary	4	4	3	4
c. Other	18	17	19	15

78. If you answered "Yes" to Question 76, how do the summer research grants to LRW faculty compare in dollars to summer grants awarded to doctrinal faculty?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Research grants are <u>equal</u>	71	67	66	59
b. Research grants are <u>greater</u>	0	1	2	1
c. Research grants are <u>less</u>	17	15	18	20
d. Don't know	28	24	22	20

Full-time Legal Writing Faculty Members

78b. If you answered "Yes" to Question 76, how often are summer research grants awarded to LRW faculty who apply for such grants, as compared to doctrinal faculty? (New question for 2008)

		2010	2009	2008
a.	Research grants are awarded <u>as often</u> to LRW faculty as to doctrinal faculty.	58	57	50
b.	Research grants are awarded <u>somewhat less often</u> to LRW faculty as compared to doctrinal faculty.	5	4	5
c.	Research grants are awarded <u>much less often</u> to LRW faculty as compared to doctrinal faculty.	13	9	11
d.	Research grants have <u>never</u> been awarded to LRW faculty.	5	8	5
e.	Don't know	29	19	15

79. Is the LRW faculty member eligible to receive developmental funding in the current academic year (to attend conferences, buy books, etc.)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes	142	118	103	130
Average amount	\$2,418	\$2,393	\$2,405	\$2,193
Minimum amount	\$500	\$1,000	\$500	\$500
Maximum amount	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$6,000
b. No	6	7	5	9
c. N/A	3	2	3	8

80. Does the LRW faculty member receive funding to hire student research assistants (exclusive of student teaching assistants)?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, sufficient funding for all reasonable requests	116	107	109	102
b. Yes, annually about	24	21	21	15
Average amount	\$2,048	\$2,138	\$3,255	\$3,167
Median amount	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,750
Minimum amount	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500
Maximum amount	\$5,000	\$6,000	\$11,111	\$10,000
c. No	21	20	25	29

# 81. Do the LRW faculty have the obligation to produce written scholarship?

	Ll fac on te	s the RW ulty enure ick?		b. Is the LR	W faculty		sc of qu qu tei	the s ality anti	rship same 7 and ty as track	
	Yes	No	<u>required</u> to produce scholarship	<u>expected</u> to produce scholarship	<u>encouraged</u> to produce scholarship	<u>neither</u> required nor expected to produce scholarship	Yes	No	Not Spec- ified	Full-time
2010	35	130	39	51	103	*	41	27	49	me
2009	31	117	34	39	91	*	36	19	49	Le
2008	28	127	26	34	86	*	32	18	43	gal
2007	24	124	27	12	35	74	33	20	55	$\leq$
			* Question	reworded for 2	008 and follou	ving years				Legal Writing Faculty Members
										Members

82. During the current academic year, what was the LRW faculty member's workload in the required program? *Responses of zero are not included in the averages. See chart next page.* 

	[	F	Fall Semester			Spring Semester			
		2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. # of students taught at least	Avg.	40.83	42.09	41.65	44.36	39.70	41.40	41.09	42.71
weekly in the	Min.	12	12	13	15	12	12	13	15
required program.	Max.	120	195	120*	120*	120	190	120*	120*
b. In-class	Avg.	3.80***	3.70	3.75	3.73	3.52	3.47	3.49	3.47
hours of teaching each	Min.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
week	Max.	12	7	9	9	8	7	9	9
c. No. of major	Avg.	3.23	3.26	3.14	3.18	2.60	2.60	2.59	2.58
c. No. of major assignments ( $\geq 5$ pages)	Min.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Max.	11	11	8	8	8	8	9	9
d. No. of minor assignments ( <	Avg.	3.49	3.66	3.72	3.93	2.90	2.87	2.83	3.16
	Min.	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
5 pages)	Max.	12	12	20	20	10	10	15	15
e. Total No. of	Avg.	1,489	1,528	1,483	1,536	1,520	1,497	1,524	1,556
pages of student work read per	Min.	175	175	160	25	280	325	325	25
term	Max.	7320	7,500	4,380	7,600	6,720	6,720	5,000	4,620
f. Total hours in conference	Avg.	49.13	48.44**	49.00	49.28	45.05	42.88	45.31	44.54
required or	Min.	7	8	4	4	8	5	4	0
strongly recommended	Max.	200	200	300	200	168	180	300	180
g. Total hours	Avg.	34.98	35.92	35.16	36.26	34.38	36.62	35.00	36.77
preparing major research and	Min.	5	5	0	0	2	2	0	0
writing assignments	Max.	125	150	150	250	200	200	250	250
h. Total hours	Avg.	72.84****	71.22	69.17	65.26	67.28****	66.30	65.39	59.98
preparing for class (excluding	Min.	6	2.50	2.50	15	6	4	2.50	2.50
hours reported above in g)	Max.	500	500	500	120	500	500	500	250

Answer of 180 excluded ; \*\*Answer of 1000 excluded; \*\*\*Answer of 42 excluded; \*\*\*\*Answers of 5\* hours excluded as likely being per week, rather than total.

	2010	2009	2008	2007				
a. Yes, as a voting member	123	111	121	117				
b. Yes, as a non-voting member	7	7	5	4				
Which Committees? (Please mark all that apply.)								
Admissions Committee	81	71	73	66				
Appointments Committee	37	36	34	31				
Clerkship Committee	44	39	40	36				
Curriculum Committee	93	81	83	79				
Moot Court Committee	43	39	39	37				
Library Committee	57	55	53	51				
LRW Committee	38	35	35	27				
Technology Committee	56	48	53	48				
Other	92	77	79	70				
c. No	31	28	30	27				
d. Don't know	3	3	1	2				

### 83. Does the LRW faculty member serve on faculty committees?

### 84. May the LRW faculty member attend faculty meetings?

	-			
	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Yes, as a voting member on <u>all</u> matters	41	36	34	33
b. Yes, as a voting member on <u>all matters except</u> hiring, promotions, or tenure	71	59	61	56
c. Yes, as a <u>non-voting</u> member	34	42	48	48
d. No	14	9	12	10
e. Don't know	4	3	1	3

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. No	30	29	34	30
b. Yes	134	120	124	121

85.	Do the LRW	faculty teach	other courses?
-----	------------	---------------	----------------

	Wh	en	Type of Course		Compensation			
	During regular academic year	During separate summer session only	Upper- level LRW courses	Non- LRW courses	Same rate as faculty overload	Same rate as adjuncts	Other	No add'l comp.
2010	118	92	85	116	47	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	47
2009	108	81	79	101	39	24	31	41
2008	103	88	79	108	51	26	39	25
2007	100	80	75	101	48	23	37	22



### IX. LRW Adjunct Faculty

86. Do you use adjunct faculty in your required program? (The % below is based on % of students taught.)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Exclusively	15	13	14	13
b. Substantially (75%)	22	15	17	17
c. Significantly (50%)	16	18	20	15
d. Somewhat (25%)	32	24	21	31
e. Rarely (<25%)	23	23	27	25
f. No	78	73	81	77



#### 87. How many adjunct faculty did you use in the required program during the current academic year for teaching, and what are their genders? (*Answers in excess of 75 excluded.*)

			Objective legal	Advocacy or moot	Both objective writing &	Research only	Other	Totals
			writing	court	advocacy	omy		
	Schools	2010	21	30	57	4	11	91
	Responding	2009	21	26	47	1	8	76
	1 0	2008	19	32	52	2	8	87
		2007	17	27	55	1	9	83
	No. of	2010	87	142	306	23	79	613
	Females:	2009	98	166	269	2	52	587
		2008	107	156*	318	3	55	639
cts		2007	84	139*	324	1	55	603
un	Average:	2010	4.14	5.13	5.37	5.75	7.18	5.52
Adj		2009	4.67	6.38	5.72	2	6.5	5.70
le ≀		2008	5.63	4.88	6.12	1.5	6.88	3.55
nal		2007	3.18	5.15*	5.89	1.0	6.11	6.90
Female Adjuncts	Minimum	2010	1	1	1	2	2	1
	T.T.T.T.T.T.G.T.T.	2009	1	1	1	2	2	1
		2008	1	1	1	1	2	1
		2007	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Maximum	2010	15	16	22	17	22	22
	maximum	2009	30	28	22	2	17	36
		2008	30	28	22	2	14	36
		2007	8	28*	23	1	13	62
	Schools	2010	17	30	40	3	11	76
	Responding	2009	15	26	36	2	8	64
	responding	2008	15	24	40	3	8	68
		2007	9	23	46	3	8	71
	No. of	2010	50	174	210	18	66	518
	Males:	2009	65	162	188	2	50	467
	1.1410.51	2008	83	151	267	4	51	556
ts		2007	50	138*	298	5	49	540
uncts	Average:	2010	2.94	5.80	5.25	6.00	6.00	5.19
	11, of agot	2009	4.33	6.23	5.22	1.00	6.25	5.37
A		2008	5.53	6.29	6.68	1.33	6.38	3.09
Male Adj		2007	2.22	6.00*	6.48	1.67	6.13	7.18
Μ	Minimum	2010	1	1	1	1	1	1
		2009	1	1	1	1	1	1
		2008	1	1	1	1	1	1
		2007	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Maximum	2010	10	25	21	16	20*	25
		2009	30	32	21	1	19	34
		2008	30	32*	35	2	20	36
		2007	30	32*	35	3	21	25

LRW Adjunct Faculty

 $\mathcal{S}$ 

	2010	2009	2008	2007				
a. Total Responses (per <u>credit hour</u> )	55	47	47	50				
Average amount per credit hour	\$2,159	\$2,048	\$2,049	\$2,237				
Median amount per credit hour	\$1,875	\$1,875	\$1,600	\$1,683				
Minimum amount per credit hour	\$675	\$850	\$500	\$500				
Maximum amount per credit hour	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000				
b. Total responses (per <u>term</u> )	61	50	57	51				
Average amount per term	\$7,245	\$6,609	\$6,695	\$5,810				
Median amount per term	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$4,500	\$3,500				
Minimum amount per term	\$1,000	\$500	\$500	\$1,000				
Maximum amount per term	\$30,000	\$27,500	\$27,500	\$26,000				

### 88. What is the salary for adjunct faculty in your required program?

### 89. How many students on average does each adjunct teach?

201	.0	2009	2008	2007
otal Responses (students per section)	03	90	92	92
Average students per section 17.	.00	17.33	17.42*	17.45*
Median students per section 17.	.00	16.75	16	16
Minimum students per section	8	8	8	8
Maximum students per section	41	42.5	42.5	40
otal responses (total students)	89	77	74	80
Average total students 20.	.00	19.53	19.17	19.55
Minimum total students	8	8	8	8
Maximum total students 48	8**	42.5**	42.5**	40**
s <5 excluded; **Answers >100 excluded	5^^	2	42.5""	42.0** 42.0**

### 90. Must an adjunct have a minimum number of years of legal practice experience to be hired?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
c. Yes (total responses)	55	46	52	48
Average minimum number of years	2.92	2.84	2.78	2.82
Lowest minimum number of years	1	1	0.5	1
Highest minimum number of years	5	5	5	5
d. No	58	54	52	47

91. How many years of teaching experience do the adjuncts in your program have? Please indicate the number of adjuncts who fall into each of the following categories.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. 0-2 years (schools responding)	55	62	58	61
Average number of adjuncts	4.49	4.48	4.90	4.30
b. 3-5 years (schools responding)	70	65	63	56
Average number of adjuncts	4.39	4.35	4.13	5.13
c. 6-10 years (schools responding)	57	44	47	54
Average number of adjuncts	4.79	4.80	4.61	5.24
d. >10 years (schools responding	49	37	36	39
Average number of adjuncts	5.43	4.57	5.19	6.10
e. Total No. of Adjuncts (schools responding)	92	85	84	90
Average number of adjuncts	11.88	11.07	11.29	11.89



92. Who creates the major writing assignments used by the adjuncts in your program? (Do not indicate who determines how many assignments or other curricular requirements.)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. The director and full-time LRW faculty <u>exclusively</u>	49	42	47	44
b. The director and full-time LRW faculty <u>primarily</u>	33	32	30	27
c. The adjunct <u>primarily</u>	13	9	13	16
d. The adjunct <u>exclusively</u>	8	6	6	6
e. Other	21	21	20	13



### X. Teaching Assistants

93. Do you use teaching assistants in your required program? (The % is based on the % of the classroom teaching hours.)

	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total Schools Responding	186	165	168	177
a. Exclusively	0	0	1	2
b. Substantially (75%)	3	1	1	0
c. Significantly (50%)	11	9	1	14
d. Somewhat (25%)	61	55	60	55
e. Rarely (<25%)	42	39	38	38
f. No	69	61	68	70

94. How many teaching assistants participate in your program to teach or to help teach and what do they teach? *Please mark all that apply.* 

	2010	2009	2008	2007					
Total number of schools responding	109	99	109	104					
a. Total number of teaching assistants									
Average number	17.95	17.07	17.50	17.30					
Median number	13	12	12	12					
Minimum	2	2	1	1					
Maximum	85	100	100	100					
b. Subjects taught									
Objective legal writing	49	40	42	39					
Advocacy or moot court	48	42	50	47					
Research	66	56	62	62					
Citation	87	74	83	80					
Other	38	38	43	38					

**Teaching Assistants** 

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Total Responses (fall)	109	99	102	96
Average number students per TA	19.72	19.88	20.26	20.02
Median number students per TA	18.00	17.50	17.75	18.00
Minimum students per TA	2	2	4	5
Maximum students per TA	65	66	60	58
b. Total Responses (spring)	110	96	101	91
Average number students per TA	19.54	20.07	20.34	20.19
Median number students per TA	17.25	17	17.25	17
Minimum students per TA	1	2	4	5
Maximum students per TA	60	66	80	70

### 95. Approximately how many students are assigned to each teaching assistant?

### 96. Approximately how many hours does each teaching assistant spend on TA duties each term?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Total Responses (fall)	98	88	93	89
Average hours	74.9	74.1	77.7	79.4
Median hours	60	54	60	60
Minimum hours	6.0	6.0	1.5	1.5
Maximum hours	240	240	210	210
b. Total Responses (spring)	96	84	92	82
Average hours	71.2	68.9	71.7	77.5
Median hours	53.8	51	60	60
Minimum hours	6.0	6.0	1.5	1.5
Maximum hours	250	240	200	200
Answers greater than 250 excluded from	n this cl	hart		

**Teaching Assistants** 

97.	Do TAs	hold	office	hours?	If so,	what	do	they	answer	questions	•
	about?	Pleas	se mai	rk all th	at ap	oly.					

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. No	21	20	21	16
b. Yes	113	98	104	102
c. Subjects covered				
Research	92	84	90	89
Writing, generally	89	81	86	85
Writing assignments before they are graded	89	79	83	81
Other law school questions (such as exams)	72	63	68	66
Citation	100	88	96	94



man apply				
	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Course credit and grades (no. of schools)	18	17	17	1
b. Course credit (no. of schools)	32	30	32	c U
Average credits (Fall)	1.88	1.87	2.01	1.8
Minimum credits (Fall)	1	1	1	
Maximum credits (Fall)	4	4	4	
Average credits (Spring)	1.81	1.77	1.92	1.8
Minimum credits (Spring)	1	1	1	
Maximum credits (Spring)	3	3	3	
c. Offset against tuition (no. of schools)	11	10	9	
Average offset	\$2,286	\$2,383	\$2,600	\$2,1
Minimum offset	\$800	\$600	\$600	\$6
Maximum offset	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,0
d. Payment per term (no. of schools)	29	23	30	
Average payment	\$1,315	\$1,205	\$1,394	\$1,3
Minimum payment	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$1
Maximum payment	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000*	\$4,0
e. Payment per hour worked (no. of schools)	41	39	39	
Average payment	\$10.86	\$10.61	\$10.11	\$10.09
Minimum payment	\$6.50	\$6.5	\$5.50	\$5.
Maximum payment	\$15.00	\$15	\$15.00	\$19.00
f. Other (no. of schools)	13	10	9	

# 98. How are the teaching assistants compensated? Please mark all that apply

\*\* Answer of \$3,754 excluded as apparently erroneous

99. Approximately how many hours of training are provided for each teaching assistant each term?

	2010	2009	2008	2007
Schools responding	101	88	93	92
Average hours	11.44	10.8	10.6	10.1
Minimum hours	1	1	1	0
Maximum hours	60	60	50	50

### XI. Survey Use

# 100. Have you used ALWD/LWI survey data to . . . *Please mark all that apply*.

	2010	2009	2008	2007
a. Improve your program	125	113	114	109
b. Improve your status	78	78	75	70
c. Improve your salary	75	73	70	69
d. Other	31	30	29	31
e. No	32	25	33	32

### Appendix A

#### **Comparisons of Responses from Female and Male Directors**

Prepared by: John Mollenkamp Clinical Professor and Director of Academic Support Cornell Law School john-mollenkamp@lawschool.cornell.edu

Responses to the survey:	Female—146 (76.4%); Male—45 (23.6%)
Responses of Directors*:	Female—112 (74.2%); Male—39 (25.8%)

\*Note: For this statistic, "Director" includes only directors and associate directors, not faculty members teaching in directorless programs. As used in the remainder of this Appendix, "Director" means the person overseeing the Legal Writing program or who responded to the ALWD/LWI survey.

		20	10		2009					20	08		2007				
	Fe	male	Μ	ale	Female Male			Iale	Fe	male	Male		Female		Male		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Tenured	24	19%	7	18%	21	19%	8	24%	26	21%	7	18%	25	22%	8	19%	
Tenure- track	14	11%	4	10%	12	11%	4	12%	11	9%	7	18%	8	7%	9	21%	
Contract	46	37%	13	33%	43	38%	12	36%	46	38%	14	36%	54	47%	14	33%	
Admin. Primary Resp. LRW	2	2%	6	15%	1	1%	3	9%	4	3%	1	3%	4	3%	1	2%	
Admin. Primary Resp. not LRW	2	2%	2	5%	2	2%	2	6%	2	1%	3	8%	1	1%	5	12%	
Clinical Tenure or Track	17	14%	2	5%	12	11%	2	6%	11	9%	2	5%	9	8%	3	7%	
Other	19	15%	6	15%	21	19%	2	6%	21	17%	5	13%	14	12%	2	5%	

# Question 45 (with gender breakdown): If your program has a director, which of these choices best describes the director?

A-1

Appendix A

		<b>20</b>	10			20	09			20	08		2007			
	Fen	nale	Male		Fe	male	Μ	Male		Female		Male		male	Male	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Professor	50	43%	22	56%	47	46%	19	58%	53	31%	19	37%	50	30%	24	44%
Professor of Legal Writing	20	17%	4	10%	17	17%	5	15%	13	8%	5	10%	12	7%	5	9%
Visiting Professor	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Clinical Professor	17	15%	5	13%	14	14%	4	12%	17	10%	6	12%	18	11%	5	9%
Lecturer	7	6%	2	5%	4	4%	2	6%	6	4%	3	6%	7	4%	3	6%
Instructor	3	3%	2	5%	2	2%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%
Asst./Assoc. Dean	4	3%	1	3%	3	3%	1	3%	4	2%	0	0%	4	2%	1	2%
Director	65	57%	18	46%	56	54%	18	55%	58	34%	16	31%	57	35%	14	26%
Other	18	16%	4	10%	17	17%	1	3%	14	8%	3	6%	10	6%	2	4%
Total *						160		50		171		52		164		54
* Respondents respondents.	s coul	d sele	ct m	ore th	an c	one tit	le, so	o the t	otals	s are g	great	ter the	an th	ne nur	nber	of

### Question 48 (with gender breakdown): What title does the director have in official law school materials?

### Question 49 (with gender breakdown): What is the annual base salary of the director? *Twelve-month salaries*

	Fema	le Directors	Male Directors		
2010 Avg. 12-month salary	\$103,907	102% of male avg.	\$102,207		
2009 Avg. 12-month salary	\$102,743	103% of male avg.	\$99,610		
2008 Avg. 12-month salary	\$96,972	99% of male avg.	\$97,533		
2007 Avg. 12-month salary	\$93,986	\$98,333			
2006 Avg. 12-month salary	\$90,837	98% of male avg.	\$92,844		
2005 Avg. 12-month salary	\$91,101	104% of male avg.	\$87,500		
2004 Avg. 12-month salary	\$90,382	96% of male avg.	\$94,500		
2010 Lowest	\$	61,000	\$60,000		
2010 Highest	\$	165,000	\$187,000		
2010 Total Responses		14			

A-2

	Femal	Male Directors	
2010 Avg. 9-month salary	\$103,433	86% of male avg.	\$120,588
2009 Avg. 9-month salary	\$101,226	87% of male avg.	\$116,579
2008 Avg. 9-month salary	\$97,386	91% of male avg.	\$107,461
2007 Avg. 9-month salary	\$91,821	85% of male avg.	\$108,333
2006 Avg. 9-month salary	\$90,037	87% of male avg.	\$103,673
2005 Avg. 9-month salary	\$85,818	85% of male avg.	\$100,632
2004 Avg. 9-month salary	\$82,834	81% of male avg.	\$102,278
2010 Lowest	\$	31,000	\$74,000
2010 Highest	\$1	\$172,000	
	[	47	
2010 Total Responses		17	

# Question 49 (with gender breakdown): What is the annual base salary of the director? *Nine-month salaries*

Question 49 (with gender breakdown): What is the annual base salary of the director? All salaries (these figures include three salaries reported as "N/A or Don't Know" on the term)

Highest Salaries:		Female Directors	Male Directors
	2010	49 of 101 = 49% of females	19 of 31 = 61% of males
% (of TOTAL	2009	40  of  88 = 45%  of females	18  of  29 = 62%  of males
responding with	2008	44  of  103 = 43%  of females	17  of  34 = 50%  of males
annual salary data)	2007	36  of  112 = 32%  of females	16 of 41 = 39% of males
who are earning \$100,000 or more.	2006	34  of  104 = 33%  of females	16 of 37 = 43% of males
	2005	30  of  104 = 29%  of females	10  of  25 = 40%  of males
	2004	27  of  95 = 28%  of females	12 of 30 = 40% of males

	Femal	Male Directors		
2010 Avg. base salary paid*	\$103,955	93% of male avg.	\$112,287	
2009 Avg. base salary paid*	\$101,916	92% of male avg.	\$101,916	
2008 Avg. base salary paid*	\$97,205	94% of male avg.	\$97,205	
2007 Avg. base salary paid*	\$93,536	92% of male avg.	\$93,536	
2006 Avg. base salary paid*	\$90,306	91% of male avg.	\$90,306	
2005 Avg. base salary paid	\$88,155	92% of male avg.	\$88,155	
2004 Avg. base salary paid	\$85,773	93% of male avg.	\$85,773	
* Base salaries reported, not acco compensation.	unting for 12 or <	12-month contract diffe	rences or other	

Appendix A

		201	10			200	)9			200	)8			200	07	
	Fen	nale	Μ	lale	Fer	nale	Ν	Iale	Fer	nale	Ν	[ale	Fer	nale	Ν	Iale
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes, other than academic support	60	48%	27	68%	53	47%	24	73%	66	55%	28	72%	66	57%	27	64%
Yes, only academic support	4	3%	0	0%	4	4%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	3	3%	2	5%
No	53	43%	12	30%	46	41%	9	27%	44	36%	10	26%	44	38%	13	31%
N/A	7	6%	1	3%	9	8%	0	0%	7	6%	1	3%	3	3%	0	0%
Total	124		40		112		33		121		39		116		42	

# Question 55 (with gender breakdown): Does the director teach courses beyond the required writing course?

Question 56(with gender breakdown): How much additional compensation does the director receive for teaching other than required LRW courses?

	2010			20	09	200	8	2007		
	Fen	nale	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	
Average	\$9,331	118% of male	\$7,875	\$12,333	\$10,750	\$10,245	\$7,720	\$8,390	\$8,500	
Lowest	\$2,500	63% of male	\$4,000	\$4,400	\$9,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,800	\$4,000	
Highest	\$18,000	103% of male	\$17,500	\$24,000	\$12,500	\$25,000	\$10,000	\$18,000	\$10,000	



Appendix A

A-4

	Avg. No. Directors	-	d by Fema	le	Avg. No. Supervised by Male Directors				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	
Female professional FT staff	3.91	3.86	3.70	3.82	3.80	4.36	3.22	3.50	
Male professional FT staff	2.19	2.08	2.13	2.19	2.17	2.16	2.20	2.10	
	,								
Female professional PT staff	1.82	2.33	2.26	2.33	2.75	2.00	2.43	2.14	
Male professional PT staff	1.13	1.00	1.33	1.17	1.25	1.00	1.33	1.67	
Female adjuncts	7.40	7.83	9.10	9.21	9.65	7.92	7.71	7.47	
Male adjuncts	7.16	7.84	9.11	10.72	10.63	8.40	8.23	5.00	
Total Resp.	114	100	109	113	39	32	38	41	

# Question 57(with gender breakdown): How many LRW professionals does the director supervise?

# Question 64 (with gender breakdown): Is the director eligible for leave?

		2010			2009			2008				2007				
	Fei	male	Μ	ale	Fei	male	Male		Fe	male	Μ	[ale	Female		Male	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	п	%	n	%	п	%	n	%
Sabbaticals: paid	45	49%	21	81%	45	54%	17	77%	42	50%	21	72%	40	52%	29	79%
Sabbaticals: unpaid	25	27%	5	19%	27	32%	17	77%	20	24%	9	31%	20	26%	11	38%
Leave	46	51%	14	54%	45	54%	15	68%	40	48%	16	55%	36	47%	16	55%
Reduced load	47	52%	12	46%	42	50%	10	45%	37	44%	12	41%	32	42%	11	38%
Other	14	15%	5	19%	9	11%	3	14%	9	11%	5	17%	8	10%	1	3%
TOTAL		177*		57*		168*		62*		148*		63*		136*		62*

\* Note: Respondents could select more than one type of leave, making the totals greater than the number of respondents.

Appendix A

Question 75 (with gender breakdown): What is the base salary range for LRW faculty members (excluding the director's salary) from lowest salary to highest salary paid at your school (range from \$(low range) to \$(high range) paid)?

#### Low Range

	F	emale Directors	Male Directors
2010 Avg. low range	\$63,410	92% of male	\$69,028
2009 Avg. low range	\$63,259	100% of male	\$63,333
2008 Avg. low range	\$59,752	97% of male	\$61,389
2007 Avg. low range	\$54,455	83% of male	\$65,800
2006 Avg. low range*	\$51,408	89% of male	\$57,736
2005 Avg. low range	\$49,652	91% of male	\$54,319
2004 Avg. low range	\$48,478	92% of male	\$52,616
2010 Lowest in low range	\$42,000	93% of male	\$45,000
2009 Lowest in low range	\$42,000	105% of male	\$40,000
2008 Lowest in low range	\$38,500	128% of male	\$30,000
2007 Lowest in low range	\$37,000	123% of male	\$30,000
2006 Lowest in low range*	\$30,000	83% of male	\$36,000
2005 Lowest in low range	\$25,840	74% of male	\$35,000
2004 Lowest in low range	\$30,000	97% of male	\$31,000
2010 Highest in low range	\$120,000	126% of male	\$95,000
2009 Highest in low range	\$105,000	124% of male	\$85,000
2008 Highest in low range	\$105,000	111% of male	\$95,000
2007 Highest in low range	\$99,500	66% of male	\$150,000
2006 Highest in low range*	\$120,000	125% of male	\$96,225
2005 Highest in low range	\$87,000	102% of male	\$85,000
2004 Highest in low range	\$87,000	99% of male	\$88,050

A-6
	F	emale Directors	Male Directors
2010 Avg. high range	\$76,499	92% of male	\$83,095
2009 Avg. high range	\$78,405	102% of male	\$76,667
2008 Avg. high range	\$72,207	99% of male	\$73,296
2007 Avg. high range	\$65,599	89% of male	\$73,481
2006 Avg. high range	\$63,417	88% of male	\$71,905
2005 Avg. high range	\$58,704	85% of male	\$68,829
2004 Avg. high range	\$58,287	91% of male	\$63,775
2010 Lowest in high range	\$45,000	98% of male	\$46,000
2009 Lowest in high range	\$45,000	100% of male	\$45,000
2008 Lowest in high range	\$45,000	128% of male	\$40,000
2007 Lowest in high range	\$37,000	97% of male	\$38,000
2006 Lowest in high range	\$35,000	88% of male	\$40,000
2005 Lowest in high range	\$35,000	100% of male	\$35,000
2004 Lowest in high range	\$30,000	81% of male	\$37,000
2010 Highest in high range	\$157,000	108% of male	\$145,000
2009 Highest in high range	\$157,000	120% of male	\$131,000
2008 Highest in high range	\$157,000	111% of male	\$126,000
2007 Highest in high range	\$136,000	91% of male	\$150,000
2006 Highest in high range	\$150,000	107% of male	\$140,000
2005 Highest in high range	\$100,000	79% of male	\$126,000
2004 Highest in high range	\$123,000	88% of male	\$140,000

# Question 75 (cont.): High Range

Question 5 AND Question 49 (by gender): How many years has the director directed the writing program at the present law school? How does this relate to salary?

		2010		20	)09	20	008	20	07
_	Fema	le	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
0-5	\$90,888	81% of male	\$112,833	\$91,721	\$113,071	\$90,896	\$97,396	\$88,240	\$94,066
6-10	\$109,294	124% of male	\$88,000	\$103,220	\$103,500*	\$98,018	\$94,844	\$91,207	\$104,111
11- 15	\$100,230	82% of male	\$122,000	\$105,412	\$116,400	\$100,660	\$137,500*	\$96,583	\$101,000
>15	\$127,756	107% of male	\$119,656	\$125,830	\$110,300	\$109,148	\$109,629	\$104,361	\$105,400
* Bas	ed on only	four rea	sponses wi	th values.					

A-8

# Appendix B

Additional Analysis of 2010 Survey Data

## Analysis of the effect of first-year class size (Q. 9)

# of 1Ls	Year	Total No. Schools	Writing Specialist, Full-time	Writing Specialist, Part-time	Tutorials	Student TAs helping students	Academic Support Program	Other
	2010	6	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	5 (83%)	5 (83%0	1 (17%)
<100	2009	7	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	7 (100%)	6 (86%)	0 (0%)
$\overline{\nabla}$	2008	7	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	6 (86%)	6 (86%)	2 (29%)
	2007	7	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)
C	2010	27	1 (4%)	4 (15%)	5 (19%)	15 (56%)	26 (96%)	5 (19%)
.15(	2009	25	1 (4%)	4 (16%)	4 (16%)	12 (48%)	25 (100%)	6 (24%)
101-150	2008	20	1(5%)	3(15%)	3(15%)	12(60%)	20(100%)	3(15%)
Γ	2007	20	1 (5%)	3 (15%)	2 (10%)	10 (50%)	19 (95%)	2 (10%)
0	2010	42	2 (5%)	10 (24%)	10 (24%)	31 (74%)	31 (74%)	5 (12%)
151-200	2009	37	1 (3%)	9 (24%)	7 (19%)	28 (76%)	31 (84%)	6 (16%)
51.	2008	50	1(2%)	6(12%)	8(16%)	33(66%)	42(84%)	7(14%)
-	2007	52	1 (2%)	6 (12%)	8 (15%)	36 (69%)	39 (75%)	6 (12%)
0	2010	46	2 (4%)	11 (24%)	9 (20%)	35 (76%)	40 (87%)	9 (20%)
201-250	2009	38	2 (5%)	11 (29%)	8 (21%)	28 (74%)	33 (87%)	6 (16%)
01-	2008	37	2(5%)	9(24%)	8(22%)	24(65%)	30(81%)	4(11%)
S	2007	39	3 (8%)	10 (26%)	6 (15%)	23 (59%)	32 (82%)	3 (8%)
0	2010	21	3 (14%)	4 (19%)	2 (10%)	16 (76%)	19 (90%)	2 (10%)
-30(	2009	19	2 (11%)	4 (21%)	2 (11%)	15 (79%)	17 (89%)	2 (11%)
251-300	2008	19	0(0%)	5(26%)	4(21%)	17(89%)	17(89%)	1(5%)
2	2007	23	1 (4%)	6 (26%)	5 (22%)	19 (83%)	21 (91%)	0 (0%)
	2010	41	9 (22%)	9 (22%)	9 (22%)	24 (59%)	34 (83%)	8 (20%)
>300	2009	34	8 (24%)	8 (24%)	9 (26%)	21 (62%)	30 (88%)	4 (12%)
<b>^</b> 3	2008	43	6(14%)	10(23%)	13(30%)	27(63%)	36(84%)	3(7%)
	2007	40	6 (15%)	10 (25%)	11 (28%)	24 (60%)	29 (73%)	4 (10%)
	2010	183	17 (9%)	41 (22%)	37 (20%)	126 (69%)	155 (85%)	30 (16%)
Totals	2009	160	14 (9%)	36 (23%)	32 (20%)	111 (69%)	142 (89%)	24 (15%)
$\operatorname{Tot}$	2008	176	10(6%)	33(19%)	38(22%)	119(68%)	151(86%)	20(11%)
	2007	181	13 (7%)	35 (19%)	33 (18%)	118 (65%)	146 (81%)	16 (9%)

#### First-year Class Size by Services Provided

No. of 1Ls	Year	Total No. Schools	Tenure or tenure- track	Full-time nontenure- track	Part-time	Adjuncts	Complex Hybrid
	2010	6	2	0	0	2	2
<100	2009	7	1	1	0	2	3
$\nabla$	2008	7	2	0	0	0	5
	2007	7	1	0	0	1	5
	2010	27	2	8	1	6	9
101-150	2009	25	4	8	1	2	10
101	2008	20	2	9	1	2	6
	2007	20	2	11	0	4	3
	2010	44	4	18	1	3	18
151-200	2009	39	4	17	2	5	11
151	2008	52	5	24	2	5	16
	2007	52	3	21	3	4	21
	2010	47	3	26	0	3	15
201-250	2009	39	2	23	0	2	12
201	2008	38	1	24	1	1	11
	2007	39	2	24	1	1	10
	2010	21	1	10	0	2	8
251-300	2009	19	1	9	0	2	7
251	2008	19	0	10	0	2	7
	2007	23	1	12	0	2	8
	2010	43	3	17	0	4	19
>300	2009	37	3	15	0	4	15
$\sim$	2008	45	2	19	0	7	17
	2007	40	2	16	0	6	16
	2010	188	15	79	2	20	71
Totals	2009	166	15	73	3	17	58
Tot	2008	181	12	86	4	17	62
	2007	181	11	84	4	18	63

## First-year Class Size by Staffing Model

Question 9 by Question 10

B-2

No. of 1Ls	Year	Total No. Schools	Have Assistant/Associate Director	Average Salary	Do not have Assistant/Associate Director
	2010	6	0	No responses	6
<100	2009	7	0	No responses	6
V	2008	7	0	No responses	7
	2007	7	0	No responses	7
	2010	24	3	\$87,667	21
101-150	2009	25	3	\$88,333	20
101	2008	20	3	\$81,000	15
	2007	20	3	\$92,000	11
	2010	37	4	\$82,667	33
151-200	2009	39	4	\$78,750	30
151.	2008	52	7	\$81,836	39
	2007	52	6	\$71,800	40
	2010	44	9	\$71,429	35
201-250	2009	39	6	\$79,500	30
201	2008	38	6	\$72,400	30
	2007	39	6	\$70,000	30
	2010	19	6	\$74,000	13
251-300	2009	19	5	\$71,250	11
251	2008	19	6	\$68,000	9
	2007	23	5	\$85,667	11
	2010	39	15	\$93,964	24
>300	2009	37	13	\$88,750	21
^ ??	2008	45	17	\$90,923	25
	2007	40	15	\$88,817	21

First-year Class size by Assistant/Associate Directors

Question 9 by Question 46

B-3

No. of 1Ls	Year	Total No. Schools	Use Adjuncts Exclusively	Use Adjuncts Substantially (75%)	Use Adjuncts Significantly (50%)	Use Adjuncts Somewhat (25%)	Use Adjuncts Rarely (<25%)	Do Not use Adjuncts
	2010	6	1	0	0	2	1	2
<100	2009	7	1	0	1	1	1	3
$\overline{\nabla}$	2008	7	0	0	2	1	1	3
	2007	7	0	0	2	2	2	1
	2010	27	5	3	1	4	3	11
101-150	2009	25	2	2	1	6	3	11
101	2008	20	1	1	1	3	4	10
	2007	20	2	2	0	0	3	13
	2010	43	2	7	1	12	5	16
151-200	2009	39	3	6	3	7	5	15
151	2008	52	4	7	3	9	8	21
	2007	52	3	6	3	12	8	19
	2010	47	1	6	5	7	5	23
201-250	2009	39	1	3	4	4	6	21
201	2008	38	2	3	3	2	5	23
	2007	40	1	3	3	5	4	23
	2010	21	2	1	2	4	2	10
251-300	2009	19	2	1	3	3	3	7
251	2008	18	2	2	4	1	2	7
	2007	23	2	3	2	4	3	7
	2010	42	4	5	7	3	7	16
>300	2009	37	4	3	6	3	5	16
$\wedge$	2008	45	5	4	7	5	7	17
	2007	40	5	3	5	8	5	14
	2010	186	15	22	16	32	23	78
70	2009	166	13	15	18	24	23	73
Totals	2008	180	14	17	20	21	27	81
$T_{c}$	2007	181	13	17	15	31	25	77

## First-year Class Size by Use of Adjunct Faculty

Question 9 by Question 86

**B-**4

No. of 1Ls	Year	Total No. Schools	Use TAs Exclusively	Use TAs Substantially (75%)	Use TAs Significantly (50%)	Use TAs Somewhat (25%)	Use TAs Rarely (<25%)	Do Not use TAs
	2010	6	0	0	1	1	3	1
<100	2009	7	0	0	1	2	3	1
$\overline{\nabla}$	2008	7	0	0	1	4	1	1
	2007	7	0	0	1	3	2	0
	2010	27	0	1	1	10	4	11
101-150	2009	25	0	0	0	11	4	10
101	2008	19	0	0	0	11	2	6
	2007	20	0	0	1	8	3	8
	2010	43	0	0	1	13	13	16
151-200	2009	39	0	0	2	12	13	12
151	2008	52	0	0	1	16	15	20
	2007	52	0	0	3	15	11	23
	2010	47	0	1	4	18	7	17
201-250	2009	39	0	1	2	14	6	16
201	2008	39	0	1	2	10	7	18
	2007	40	1	0	4	11	4	19
	2010	21	0	1	0	8	4	8
251-300	2009	19	0	0	0	7	4	8
251	2008	19	0	0	1	9	3	6
	2007	23	0	0	0	11	5	7
	2010	42	0	0	4	11	11	16
>300	2009	36	0	0	4	9	9	14
$\wedge$	2008	44	1	0	6	10	10	17
	2007	40	1	0	5	7	13	13
	2010	186	0	3	11	61	42	69
	2009	165	0	1	9	55	39	61
Totals	2008	179	1	1	11	60	38	68
$T_{c}$	2007	181	2	0	14	55	38	70

## First-year Class Size by Use of Teaching Assistants for Classroom Teaching

Question 9 by Question 93

B-5-

# Analysis of the effect of staffing model (Q. 10):

#### Staffing Model by Grading Model

Staffing Model	Year	Grades that are included in GPAs	Grades that are not included in GPAs	Honors, pass, fail (or equivalent)	Purely pass/fail	Other method
Tenured or	2010	14	0	0	0	1
tenure-track	2009	12	0	0	1	1
	2008	12	0	0	0	0
	2007	11	0	0	0	0
	2010	1	0	0	0	0
Doctrinal course	2009	1	0	0	0	0
or professor	2008	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	0	0	0
	2010	64	1	8	2	3
Full-time	2009	60	1	7	2	3
nontenure-track	2008	72	1	8	3	2
	2007	69	1	8	3	3
	2010	2	0	0	0	0
De at time	2009	3	0	0	0	0
Part-time	2008	4	0	0	0	0
	2007	4	0	0	0	0
	2010	18	0	1	0	1
A	2009	16	0	0	0	1
Adjuncts	2008	16	0	1	0	0
	2007	17	0	1	0	0
	2010	0	0	0	0	0
Q. 1 .	2009	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2008	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	1	0	0
	2010	60	0	1	2	6
0 1 11 1 1	2009	53	0	1	1	3
Complex Hybrid	2008	54	0	2	1	5
	2007	54	0	1	1	7
	2010	159	1	10	4	11
	2009	145	1	8	4	8
TOTALS	2008	158	1	11	4	7
	2007	155	1	11	4	10

	-		-				
Staffing Model	Year	Research Taught Integrated with Writing	Research Taught Separately from Writing	Taught by LRW Faculty	Taught by Librarians	Taught by both LRW Faculty and Librarians	Taught by Teaching Assistants or Other Students
	2010	11	6	7	4	5	2
Tenured or	2009	11	4	7	2	5	1
tenure-track	2008	9	4	5	3	4	1
	2007	9	3	7	3	1	1
	2010	1	0	0	1	0	0
Doctrinal	2009	1	0	0	1	0	0
course or professor	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
professor	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2010	68	20	40	18	29	11
Full-time	2009	65	16	41	16	21	8
nontenure- track	2008	76	19	44	18	31	9
track	2007	75	20	45	10	32	9
	2010	2	1	0	0	2	0
Part-time	2009	3	1	0	0	3	0
rart-time	2008	4	1	0	1	3	0
	2007	4	1	0	1	3	0
	2010	14	11	4	9	9	4
Adjuncts	2009	12	9	4	6	7	4
Aujuncis	2008	12	9	2	8	6	3
	2007	12	8	2	5	9	2
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	1	0	0	1	0	1
	2010	58	27	26	24	23	12
Complex	2009	47	24	21	21	20	12
Hybrid	2008	50	23	23	22	22	10
	2007	48	22	25	25	16	8
	2010	154	65	77	56	68	29
TOTALS	2009	139	54	73	46	56	25
101/110	2008	151	56	74	52	66	23
	2007	149	54	79	45	61	21

## Staffing Model by Research Teaching Model

Question 10 by Question 18

B-7

Staffing	Year		Fall Sen	neste	r				Spring Ser	neste	er		
Model		Total No. of schools responding	Average credits	1 credit	2 credits	3 credits	4 credits	Total No. of schools responding	Average credits	1 credit	2 credits	3 credits	4 credits
Tenured	2010	14	2.79	0	4	9	1	15	2.73	0	5	9	1
or	2009	13	2.62	0	5	8	0	14	2.64	0	<b>5</b>	9	0
tenure-	2008	12	2.50	0	6	6	0	12	2.50	1	4	7	0
track	2007	10	2.50	0	6	3	1	11	2.45	1	4	6	0
Destains1	2010	1	2.00	0	1	0	0	1	3.00	0	0	1	0
Doctrinal course or	2009	1	2.00	0	1	0	0	1	3.00	0	0	1	0
professor	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2010	77	2.31	3	4 9	2 3	2	77	2.27	4	4 9	2 3	1
Full-time	2009	85	2.31	4	$\frac{4}{4}$	$2 \\ 2$	2	72	2.18	6	$\frac{4}{7}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	0
nontenur e-track	2008	85	2.33	3	5 3	2 7	2	85	2.26	5	$5\\3$	2 7	0
	2007	82	2.34	4	$4 \\ 6$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	83	2.27	5	51	2 7	0
	2010	2	2.50	0	1	1	0	2	2.00	0	2	0	0
Part-	2009	3	2.33	0	2	1	0	3	2.33	0	2	1	0
time	2008	4	2.25	1	1	2	0	4	2.25	0	3	1	0
	2007	4	2.25	1	1	2	0	4	2.25	0	3	1	0
	2010	19	2.37	1	1 0	8	0	20	2.05	4	1 1	5	0
	2009	17	2.36	1	9	7	0	17	2.00	3	9	5	0
Adjuncts	2008	17	2.24	1	1 1	5	0	17	2.00	5	7	5	0
	2007	18	2.22	2	1 0	6	0	17	1.94	5	8	4	0
	2010	68	2.46	8	2 4	3 3	3	69	2.30	8	3 3	2 7	1
Complex	2009	57	2.49	6	2	2 6	4	58	2.31	6	3 0	$\frac{1}{2}$	2
Hybrid	2008	61	2.43	9	2 1	2 7	4	61	2.23	9	3 1	1 9	2
	2007	62	2.42	9	23	$\frac{1}{2}$	5	61	2.16	9	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	2

## Staffing Model by No. of First-year Credits Awarded

Question 10 by Question 12

B-8

## Staffing Model by Feedback Method for Papers

Staffing			General	Foodbash	Chart	Comments	Creating
Model		Comments	feedback	Feedback memo to	Short comments	Comments in person	Grading grids or
	Year	written on	memo to	specific	at end of	during	score
		paper itself	all students	student	paper	conference	sheets
	2010	15	14	8	14	14	11
Tenured or	2009	14	14	7	12	13	10
tenure-track	2008	12	11	8	11	11	10
	2007	11	8	7	8	9	10
	2010	1	1	0	1	1	1
Doctrinal	2009	1	1	0	1	1	1
course or professor	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
professor	2007	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2010	79	63	58	71	74	62
Full-time	2009	73	59	48	66	69	56
nontenure- track	2008	86	71	58	76	80	70
track	2007	84	67	52	79	77	56
	2010	2	2	2	2	2	1
Part-time	2009	3	3	3	3	3	2
Part-time	2008	4	4	3	4	4	3
	2007	4	4	2	4	4	4
	2010	20	16	12	18	18	11
Adiumata	2009	17	12	10	15	15	10
Adjuncts	2008	17	10	9	12	14	10
	2007	18	14	10	16	15	11
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	1	1	1	1	1	1
	2010	69	60	49	63	66	49
Complex	2009	58	50	39	54	55	43
Hybrid	2008	61	50	41	56	56	45
	2007	63	43	43	51	56	44
	2010	186	156	129	169	175	135
TOTALS	2009	166	139	107	151	156	122
1011110	2008	180	146	119	159	165	138
	2007	181	137	114	159	162	126

Question 10 by Question 24

**B-**9

## Staffing Model by Director's Status

Staffing Model	Year	Tenured, LRW primary	Untenured, tenure- track, LRW primary	Nontenure- track, primary responsibility is LRW	Admin. or faculty, LRW not primary	Admin. LRW primary	Clinical tenure or clinical tenure track	Other
	2010	3	6	0	0	0	0	2
Tenured or tenure-	2009	4	4	0	0	0	0	2
track	2008	2	4	0	0	0	0	1
014011	2007	4	3	0	0	0	0	0
<b>D</b> 11	2010	8	4	33	4	2	9	7
Full-time nontenure-	2009	7	3	33	2	2	7	9
track	2008	9	6	32	3	3	5	13
	2007	9	5	37	3	3	5	8
	2010	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Part-time	2009	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
rart-time	2008	1	0	2	0	0	1	0
	2007	1	0	2	0	0	1	0
	2010	3	2	5	3	0	4	3
Adjuncts	2009	6	2	5	1	0	2	1
Aujuncts	2008	5	1	6	1	0	2	2
	2007	4	2	7	1	0	1	2
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	2008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	2010	16	5	20	1	2	6	12
Complex	2009	11	6	16	1	2	4	11
Hybrid	2008	16	7	20	1	2	5	8
	2007	15	6	20	2	2	5	6
	2010	31	18	59	8	4	19	24
TOTALS	2009	29	16	55	4	4	14	23
IUIALS	2008	33	18	60	5	5	13	24
	2007	33	17	67	6	5	12	16

Question 10 by Question 45

#### Staffing Model by Director's Workload

Staffing Model	Year	Director Duties	Teaching in the Required Program	zero, so total per Teaching outside the required program	Service to the law school	Academic Support	Scholarship	Other
	2010	21.1	31.7	21.0	17.5	5.0	16.4	30.0
Tenured or tenure-	2009	24.4	33.8	26.3	14.3	7.5	13.3	55.0
track	2008	20.0	41.4	23.8	18.6	5.0	13.8	0
brack	2007	20.0	37.1	16.0	20.0	8.3	8.3	10.0
	2010	24.2	44.3	22.9	13.4	8.6	10.9	15.2
Full-time	2009	24.7	44.0	28.1	11.8	10.7	11.5	11.3
nontenure- track	2008	24.2	44.4	26.3	12.1	9.0	14.3	14.1
brack	2007	28.0	40.7	13.4	12.4	4.2	7.9	6.4
	2010	25.0	47.5	15.0	20.0	10.0	5.0	5.0
Dout times	2009	21.7	43.3	20.0	15.0	7.5	5.0	5.0
Part-time	2008	21.3	43.3	40.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	2007	22.5	22.5	37.5	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0
	2010	43.9	28.2	28.8	13.5	12.0	12.9	8.6
Adiumata	2009	44.7	29.1	26.7	14.7	14.0	16.4	7.5
Adjuncts	2008	48.4	21.3	27.0	15.0	15.0	21.0	7.5
	2007	39.4	21.3	22.7	15.3	15.8	14.6	3.8
Students	2010							
(No	2009							
responses	2008							
2008-10)	2007	40.0	5.0	20.0	15.0	15.0		5.0
	2010	30.3	38.6	20.0	11.2	8.3	11.1	10.0
Complex	2009	29.0	39.3	18.4	11.6	7.5	11.1	10.3
Hybrid	2008	28.5	36.0	25.2	12.4	9.6	11.0	9.2
	2007	27.7	35.5	18.8	12.9	4.2	9.9	4.8

Averages do not include responses of zero, so total percentages may exceed 100.

Question 10 by Question 53

#### Assistant/Associate Director by Staffing Model

-		Year	Tenure or tenure- track	Full-time nontenure -track	Part- time	Adjuncts	Students	Complex Hybrid	
	No. of Schools that	2010	1	10	0	9	0	17	App
	report having	2009	1	8	0	7	0	15	pen
	Associate/Assistan	2008	1	10	0	7	0	21	dix
	t Directors	2007	0	13	0	6	0	17	В

Question 46 by Question 10

#### **Consistency in Program Elements by Staffing Model**

Numeric responses are in this order:

(a. Uniform; b. Generally Consistent; c. Varies among sections)

Торіс	Year	Tenure or tenure- track	Doctrinal course or Professor	Full-time nontenure- track	Part- Time	Adjuncts	Complex Hybrid
	2010	4; 8; 3	1; 0; 0	33; 43; 3	1; 1; 0	16; 4; 0	30; 31; 8
Syllabus	2009	2; 8; 4	1; 0; 0	31; 37; 5	1; 1; 0	15; 2; 0	26; 26; 5
Coverage	2008	2; 7; 3	0; 0; 0	34; 46; 5	2; 1; 0	16; 1; 0	28; 25; 4
	2007	2;7;2	0; 0; 0	36; 42; 5	3; 1; 0	17; 1; 0	33; 22; 7
	2010	10; 5; 0	1; 0; 0	61; 18; 0	2; 0; 0	19; 1; 0	46; 22; 1
No. of Major	2009	7; 6; 1	1; 0; 0	53; 17; 3	2; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	41; 16; 0
Assignments	2008	6; 5; 1	0; 0; 0	61; 21; 3	3; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	43; 14; 0
	2007	5; 6; 0	0; 0; 0	63; 17; 3	4; 0; 0	18; 0; 0	47; 11; 4
Due dates	2010	6; 7 ; 2	1; 0; 0	38; 34; 6	2; 0; 0	18; 2; 0	34; 26; 9
and lengths	2009	5; 7; 2	1; 0; 0	32; 33; 8	2; 0; 0	16; 1; 0	25; 27; 5
of most	2008	4; 6; 2	0; 0; 0	39; 37; 9	3; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	28; 24; 5
assignments	2007	3; 6; 2	0; 0; 0	38; 36; 9	4; 0; 0	16; 2; 0	35; 15; 12
	2010	3; 8; 4	1; 0; 0	12; 33; 34	0; 0; 1	11; 5; 4	16; 26; 26
No. of Minor	2009	2; 9; 3	1; 0; 0	11; 29; 33	1; 0; 1	10; 5; 2	16; 20; 20
Assignments	2008	4; 5; 3	0; 0; 0	15; 37;33	1; 1; 1	12; 4; 1	20; 17; 19
	2007	2; 4; 5	0; 0; 0	13; 36; 34	2; 2; 0	10; 6; 2	24; 16; 22
	2010	5; 4; 6	1; 0; 0	32; 9; 37	2; 0; 0	20; 0; 0	33; 12; 24
Required	2009	4; 4; 6	1; 0; 0	26; 13; 33	2; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	31; 5; 21
Textbook	2008	5; 2; 5	0; 0; 0	30; 15; 39	3; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	35; 8; 14
	2007	3; 3; 5	0; 0; 0	38; 11; 34	3; 1; 0	18; 0; 0	36; 10; 16
	2010	12; 3; 0	1; 0; 0	67; 8; 4	2; 0; 0	20; 0; 0	54; 5; 10
Citation Text	2009	11; 2; 0	1; 0; 0	60; 7; 6	2; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	47; 3; 7
	2008	10; 2; 0	0; 0; 0	69; 12; 4	3; 0; 0	17; 0; 0	50; 6; 1
	2007	10; 1; 0	0; 0; 0	73; 6; 4	4; 0; 0	18; 0; 0	57; 4; 1
Content of	2010	0; 6; 9	0; 1; 0	1; 24; 54	0; 1; 1	2; 13; 5	6; 24; 39
class	2009	0; 5; 9	0; 1; 0	1; 22; 50	0; 1; 1	4; 9; 4	4; 22; 31
lectures and	2008	0; 5; 7	0; 0; 0	1; 29; 55	0; 2; 1	2; 12; 2	5; 23; 28
exercises	2007	0; 2; 9	0; 0; 0	2; 24; 57	0; 3; 1	4; 11; 3	7; 24; 31
	2010	1; 11; 2	1; 0; 0	17; 50; 11	0; 2; 0	8; 11; 0	26; 34; 9
Grading	2009	0; 10; 3	1; 0; 0	15; 44; 12	0; 2; 0	8; 8; 0	21; 30; 6
Situality	2008	1; 8; 3	0; 0; 0	19; 49; 15	1; 2; 0	11; 6; 0	23; 28; 7
	2007	1; 7; 3	0; 0; 0	18; 53; 11	3; 1; 0	15; 3; 0	22; 29; 11

 $\label{eq:Question 26} \mbox{ Question 26 by Question 10}$ 

**B-12** 

Staffing Model	Year	No elective writing courses available	Elective writing courses taught by non- writing faculty	Elective writing courses taught by LRW faculty	Elective writing courses taught by either non- writing or LRW faculty
	2010	1	1	1	12
Tenured or	2009	1	2	0	11
tenure-track	2008	0	0	2	10
	2007	2	0	1	8
	2010	0	0	0	1
Doctrinal	2009	0	0	0	1
course or professor	2008	0	0	0	0
p10105501	2007	0	0	0	0
	2010	3	17	9	48
Full-time	2009	3	15	7	47
nontenure- track	2008	4	20	7	53
track	2007	6	21	7	47
	2010	0	1	0	1
Dent time	2009	0	2	0	1
Part-time	2008	0	2	0	2
	2007	0	2	0	2
	2010	2	2	5	11
A dimension	2009	1	3	3	10
Adjuncts	2008	2	4	3	8
	2007	1	5	4	8
	2010	0	0	0	0
Cturd and a	2009	0	0	0	0
Students	2008	0	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	0	1
	2010	4	16	5	42
Complex	2009	3	11	6	36
Hybrid	2008	6	18	6	29
	2007	6	18	7	29
	2010	10	37	20	115
TOTALS	2009	8	33	16	106
IUIALS	2008	12	44	18	102
	2007	15	46	19	95

#### Staffing Model by Availability and Staffing of Elective Writing Courses

Question 10 by Question 32

#### Use of Technology by Staffing Model

		Ten	ure or t	enure-ti	rack	Full-t	ime nor	tenure	track		Part	time			Adju	ncts		(	Complex	Hybrid	1
		2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007	2010	2009	2008	2007
Þ	All*	10	8	7	8	52	47	53	49	1	2	3	3	12	11	11	9	45	36	41	39
stser ents	Most*	1	1	2	0	11	12	16	18	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	5	16	14	10	13
-mail listser or students	Some*	3	3	2	3	8	7	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	5	6	6
E-mail listserv for students	Never*	0	1	1	0	6	4	6	5	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	2
포	Avg. rating**	4.29	4.58	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.62	4.61	4.51	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.14	4.21	4.00	4.48	4.43	4.32	4.44
	All*	5	4	2	2	22	20	25	20	1	2	2	2	6	2	2	1	19	20	20	18
t ms	Most*	4	3	4	4	14	16	15	14	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	16	10	7	8
Smart classrooms	Some*	3	4	4	4	21	19	24	25	0	0	0	0	7	7	7	9	22	20	20	20
S clas	Never*	2	2	2	1	9	7	15	15	0	0	0	0	5	4	3	2	6	3	5	3
	Avg. rating**	4.18	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.28	4.29	4.24	4.21	4.50	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.09	3.71	3.56	3.63	3.93	3.98	3.82	3.86
	All*	0	0	0	0	8	7	8	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	7	5	4	5
Online edits	Most*	2	2	1	1	11	8	7	7	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	7	6	8	4
ne e	Some*	9	7	9	6	42	41	48	44	1	1	2	2	12	10	12	10	39	33	32	35
Onli	Never*	3	4	1	4	13	10	19	16	0	0	0	0	4	3	2	4	10	9	10	10
_	Avg. rating**	4.00	4.25	4.11	4.33	4.20	4.13	4.17	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.09	4.17	3.75	3.82	3.87	3.72	3.89
	All*	0	1	1	2	14	12	17	16	1	1	2	3	1	2	4	3	14	12	12	10
Web	Most*	2	3	2	1	8	8	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	5	5	2
Course Web page	Some*	5	3	3	4	13	16	16	18	1	0	0	0	5	4	4	5	15	14	15	18
Cou	Never*	4	5	5	2	27	21	30	29	0	0	0	0	10	7	8	8	18	12	12	11
	Avg. rating**	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.13	4.26	4.24	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.67	3.40	3.20	2.86	3.00	3.97	3.96	3.79	3.96
tc	All*	7	4	3	4	45	33	38	35	1	2	3	1	12	11	10	8	37	33	30	26
d, et d, et	Most*	5	7	5	2	17	17	18	16	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	14	12	9	7
TWEN, ackboard	Some*	2	2	3	4	14	19	24	24	1	1	1	1	6	4	5	6	16	12	20	27
9		1	1	1	0	1	1	4	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	2
BI	Avg. rating**	4.14	4.31	4.36	4.09	4.35	4.28	4.21	4.21	3.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.63	3.82	3.94	3.63	4.35	4.31	4.12	4.09

Question 43 by Question 10 (\* value is number of schools; \*\* rating on a scale of 1-5)

**B-14** 

Staffing Model	Year	The LRW Program has a web page	At least one member of the LRW faculty has a web page	No web pages
	2010	6	3	6
Tenured or tenure-	2009	4	4	6
track	2008	3	5	4
	2007	4	4	3
	2010	0	0	1
Doctrinal course or	2009	0	0	1
professor	2008	0	0	0
	2007	0	0	0
	2010	31	22	26
Full-time nontenure-	2009	27	20	26
track	2008	26	24	36
	2007	25	25	34
	2010	1	1	0
De ut time	2009	2	1	0
Part-time	2008	3	1	0
	2007	3	1	0
	2010	6	2	12
A dimension	2009	5	2	10
Adjuncts	2008	6	2	9
	2007	4	0	14
	2010	25	14	31
	2009	23	12	23
Complex Hybrid	2008	23	12	27
	2007	20	15	28
	2010	69	42	76
TOTALS	2009	61	39	66
IUIALS	2008	61	44	76
	2007	57	45	79

## Staffing Model by Use of Course Web Pages

Question 10 by Question 42

## Analysis of the effect of LRW Faculty Status (Q. 65)

#### Faculty Title by LRW Faculty Status

				LR	W Faculty Sta	tus	
		Year	Tenure or tenure- track	ABA Standard 405(c) (includes 405(c) track)	Contracts of 3 years or more	Contracts of 2 years	Contracts of 1 year
	Professor,	2010	31	7	4	0	2
	Assoc. Prof., or	2009	25	6	3	1	4
	Asst. Prof.	2008	28	5	4	0	3
		2007	28	6	5	0	4
	Professor,	2010	6	25	15	3	3
	Assoc. Prof., or	2009	6	20	13	4	2
	Asst. Prof. <u>of</u> <u>Legal Writing</u>	2008	5	20	12	4	5
	Legal WIIting	2007	4	18	16	4	2
	<u>Visiting</u>	2010	9	0	2	0	2
	professor or	2009	6	0	1	0	2
	<u>visiting</u> prof. of legal writing	2008	4	0	1	1	1
	legal witting	2007	3	0	0	1	1
Faculty Title	<u>Clinical</u> prof.,	2010	4	8	3	0	3
tv 7	<u>clinical</u> assoc.	2009	4	6	4	0	2
cul	prof., or <u>clinical</u> asst. prof.	2008	4	8	4	0	1
$F_{\alpha}$	asst. prot.	2007	3	7	5	1	2
	Lecturer or	2010	2	2	8	3	4
	senior lecturer	2009	2	2	5	2	6
		2008	1	1	7	3	6
		2007	1	2	6	3	6
	Instructor	2010	3	3	6	3	9
		2009	2	3	6	0	9
		2008	2	3	8	0	12
		2007	2	4	6	2	15
	Other	2010	3	8	12	1	3
		2009	2	8	9	2	3
		2008	3	5	9	1	4
		2007	3	3	7	1	2

Question 65 by Question 68

Appendix B B-16

	Year	Attend and Vote on All Matters	Attend and Vote on All Matters Except Hiring, Promotions, and Tenure	Attend but Do Not Vote	Do Not Attend or Vote
Tenure or	2010	28	7	1	0
tenure-track	2009	25	6	1	0
	2008	24	8	3	0
	2007*	21	7	2	0
ABA Standard	2010	9	36	2	0
405(c) and	2009	5	30	<b>5</b>	0
405(c) track	2008	4	31	1	1
	2007*	5	27	1	1
Contracts of 3	2010	3	20	16	6
years or more	2009	3	13	19	4
	2008	3	12	23	5
	2007*	4	15	21	2
Contracts of 2	2010	0	3	2	3
years	2009	0	4	3	1
	2008	0	3	3	1
	2007*	0	3	5	3
Contracts of 1	2010	1	5	13	2
year	2009	2	6	12	3
	2008	3	6	16	3
	2007*	3	3	18	4

#### LRW Faculty Status and Faculty Meeting Attendance and Voting Rights

\* 2007 answers were incorrectly reported in the 2007 report; these are corrected figures.

Question 65 by Question 84

Status	Year		Fall Se	emesi	ter				Spring 2	Seme	ester		
		Total No. of schools responding	Average credits	1 credit	2 credits	3 credits	4 credits	Total No. of schools responding	Average credits	1 credit	2 credits	3 credits	4 credits
_	2010	36	2.72	1	11	21	3	38	2.68	1	12	23	36
Tenured	2009	31	2.61	2	10	17	2	33	2.64	1	11	20	1
or tenure- track	2008	35	2.60	2	12	19	2	35	2.49	2	15	17	1
track	2007	29	2.66	1	10	16	2	29	2.49	2	12	14	1
	2010	120	2.34	8	65	45	2	120	2.23	9	75	35	1
All other	2009	110	2.32	8	61	38	3	110	2.20	9	71	29	1
types	2008	115	2.32	8	64	41	2	115	2.23	9	71	35	0
	2007	113	2.32	9	61	41	2	113	2.18	11	71	31	0

#### LRW Faculty Status and Credit Awarded for LRW Courses

Question 65 by Question 12

#### LRW Faculty Status by Funding for Summer Research

	Year		le for summer earch grants	Not Eligible for summer research	School does not generally provide summer research
		N	Avg. amount	grants	grants to faculty
Tenure or	2010	30	\$9,623	3	2
tenure-track	2009	33	\$9,496	1	2
	2008	30	\$8,532	2	3
	2007*	26	\$8,300	1	2
ABA	2010	35	\$9,770	7	2
Standard	2009	40	\$8,987	6	1
405(c) and	2008	30	\$8,898	4	2
405(c) track	2007*	23	\$8,348	7	2
Contracts of	2010	22	\$7,667	17	0
3 years or	2009	39	\$7,882	16	0
more	2008	24	\$7,333	14	0
	2007*	27	\$7,380	11	2
Contracts of	2010	3	\$6,000	4	0
2 years	2009	8	\$5,500	5	0
	2008	2	\$5,250	5	0
	2007*	3	\$5,250	6	0
Contracts of	2010	12	\$4,982	9	1
1 year	2009	24	\$5,000	9	3
	2008	11	\$6,650	12	4
	2007*	12	\$6,050	9	3

 $Question \ 65 \ by \ Question \ 76$ 

Appendix B B-18

	Year	Receive sufficient funding for all reasonable		ceive annual erage funding	Do not receive funding for research assistants
		requests	Ν	Avg. amount	
Tenure or	2010	31	5	\$3,875	1
tenure-	2009	29	4	\$2,667	0
track	2008	30	4	\$3,000	1
	2007	26	3	\$3,500	1
ABA	2010	35	8	\$1,813	3
Standard	2009	29	7	\$2,200	3
405(c) and $405(c)$ tracal	2008	28	6	\$2,233*	2
405(c) track	2007	25	3	\$1,250	4
Contracts of	2010	27	8	\$1,750	8
3 years or	2009	23	9	\$2,100	7
more	2008	25	8	\$1,500*	10
	2007	27	5	\$875*	11
Contracts of	2010	3	2	\$750	2
2 years	2009	5	1	\$500	2
	2008	4	2	\$500	1
	2007	7	2	\$2,250	1
Contracts of	2010	15	1	\$1,000	7
1 year	2009	16	0	n/a	8
	2008	17	1	\$500	11
	2007	15	2	\$500	10
* Responses of	of \$10,000	and over excluded			

## LRW Faculty Status by Funding for Research Assistants

Question 65 by Question 80

		LRW Faculty Status												
			are or	Star	BA ndard 05(c)	Contr	acts of ars or	Contra	acts of		acts of			
	Year	tenure	e-track	(inc	ludes ) track)		more		2 years		1 year			
		Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring			
Average	2010	36.10	35.18	41.91	40.27	41.69	40.42	40.71	40.29	45.16	44.80			
Number of	2009	35.84	34.70	44.84	44.41	42.68	41.29	43.19	44.56	44.67	44.59			
students	2008	42.05	42.48	42.11	40.11	44.65	43.85	45.14	44.86	40.20	40.70			
taught	2007	44.48	45.52	43.20	41.97	47.02	45.22	41.27	39.64	42.58	43.53			
In-class hours	2010	3.82	3.62	3.77	3.65	3.74	3.35	5.33	4.33	3.52	3.33			
of teaching	2009	3.64	3.32	3.71	3.53	3.79	3.54	4.13	4.25	3.57	3.38			
each week	2008	3.98	3.62	3.61	3.41	3.65	3.42	5.00	4.67	3.54	3.31			
	2007	3.87	3.63	3.56	3.33	4.05	3.61	3.95	3.73	3.20	3.13			
Number of	2010	3.26	2.79	3.27	2.61	3.18	2.48	3.29	2.57	3.18	2.64			
major	2009	3.25	2.74	3.51	2.77	3.28	2.44	2.75	2.75	3.05	2.45			
assignments	2008	3.26	2.83	3.22	2.65	3.14	2.50	2.57	2.29	3.04	2.44			
(>=5 pages)	2007	3.15	2.78	3.27	2.82	3.31	2.54	2.91	2.00	3.04	2.44			
Number of	2010	3.42	2.73	3.68	3.16	3.11	2.88	3.67	3.20	3.76	2.61			
minor	2009	3.52	2.63	3.64	3.20	3.21	3.00	5.71	3.50	3.90	2.42			
assignments	2008	3.83	2.75	3.77	3.31	3.27	2.74	3.86	2.57	4.26	2.57			
	2007	4.04	2.95	3.53	3.20	4.00	3.50	4.18	2.70	4.24	2.30			
Total number	2010	1450	1405	1685	1734	1397	1442	1634	1427	1381	1563			
of pages of	2009	1431	1333	1894	1719	1515	1490	1388	1460	1315	1519			
student work	2008	1445	1493	1533	1517	1531	1522	1473	1590	1393	1497			
per term	2007	1320	1325	1769	1608	1598	1643	1566	1594	1399	1605			
Total hours in	2010	43.21	39.51	47.81	44.28	53.66	48.62	51.07	37.61	52.81	52.20			
conference	2009	46.29	41.82	51.13	45.13	55.17	48.58	31.83	25.38	42.50	38.45			
	2008	49.69	45.18	45.64	42.78	51.67	45.06	32.71	34.89	52.56	52.17			
	2007	49.04	46.17	52.77	48.96	55.94	47.64	36.09	33.59	41.78	38.39			
Total hours	2010	34.10	32.30	32.13	29.29	39.03	38.08	27.43	23.00	37.84	45.26			
preparing	2009	35.26	33.11	37.33	34.91	38.59	40.60	18.20	20.30	36.00	43.06			
major	2008	34.48	30.57	29.74	28.14	42.08	45.72	23.50	29.42	33.24	30.50			
assignments	2007	27.50	24.64	36.44	34.44	40.49	47.64	32.40	37.95	41.06	45.00			
Total Hours	2010	70.97	63.68	74.78	73.00	72.76	67.32	56.29	47.00	79.55	75.50			
preparing for	2009	67.89	56.43	81.91	79.83	72.07	68.91	37.83	37.83	49.95	69.50			
class	2008	63.78	56.91	70.48	71.71	75.20	69.65	40.00	38.57	69.29	65.81			
	2007	63.64	52.09	63.00	58.92	70.46	66.99	72.30	64.2	49.53	49.33			

## LRW Faculty Status by Average Class Size and Workload

Question 65 by Question 82

Appendix B B-20

# **Analysis of Faculty Salary**

#### LRW Faculty Salary by Average Class Size (First Fall and First Spring Averaged)

	Year	Total Responses	Avg. Low Salary	Avg. High Salary	Overall Avg. Salary
	2010	5	\$73,900	\$96,300	\$85,100
20 or Below	2009	4	78,125	\$79,125	\$78,625
	2008	2	\$74,500	\$87,500	\$81,000
	2007	1	\$90,000	\$98,000	\$94,000
	2010	12	\$68,542	\$79,667	\$74,104
l to 30	2009	9	\$65,222	\$81,556	\$73,389
	2008	14	\$64,286	\$82,357	\$73,321
21	2007	9	\$58,125	\$73,444	\$65,889
	2010	15	\$65,000	\$93,292	\$79,146
35	2009	18	\$62,833	\$82,389	\$72,611
L to	2008	16	\$64,938	\$81,500	\$73,219
31	2007	14	\$64,107	\$80,807	\$72,457
	2010	34	\$65,241	\$74,688	\$69,965
36 to 40	2009	25	\$66,448	\$78,896	\$72,672
6 tc	2008	23	\$58,013	\$62,500	\$60,256
30	2007	26	\$47,772	\$58,873	\$52,953
20	2010	20	\$64,325	\$72,461	\$68,393
41 to 45	2009	17	\$56,912	\$68,572	\$62,742
	2008	26	\$58,423	\$67,389	\$62,906
4	2007	28	\$55,095	\$61,786	\$58,759
46 to 50	2010	16	\$58,422	\$70,181	\$64,301
	2009	14	\$61,536	\$73,321	\$67,429
	2008	13	\$54,527	\$66,313	\$60,420
4	2007	11	63,957	\$67,809	\$63,151
51 to 55	2010	5	\$54,556	\$79,074	\$66,815
	2009	3	\$57,593	\$86,457	\$72,025
	2008	6	\$55,817	\$74,483	\$65,150
	2007	12	\$50,703	\$72,068	\$63,194
56 to 60	2010	1	\$65,000	\$95,000	\$80,000
	2009	3	\$58,000	\$83,167	\$70,583
	2008	5	\$57,380	\$71,280	\$64,330
	2007	5	\$49,600	\$58,400	\$54,000
	2010	4	\$65,000	\$68,000	\$66,500
r re	2009	7	\$65,714	\$85,286	\$75,500
61 or above	2008	3	\$69,000	\$71,667	\$70,330
а а	2007	4	\$65,000	\$73,750	\$72,750

Question 82 by Question 75

			If yes, when?		If yes, type of course?				
	Yes	No	During regular academic year	During separate summer session only	Upper- level LRW courses only	Non-LRW courses			
Number of Responses 2010	92	22	85	7*	11	80			
Overall Average Salary									
2010	\$72,261	\$67,250	\$73,700	\$54,786	\$63,141	\$73,668			
Average Lo	w Salary								
2010	\$64,617	\$64,750	\$65,703	\$51,429	\$57,318	\$65,678			
2009	\$63,191	\$63,654	\$64,213	\$54,283	\$63,151	\$63,553			
2008	\$60,601	\$58,500	\$60,923	\$59,786	\$57,550	\$60,907			
2007	\$57,491	\$57,888	\$58,005	\$57,800	\$56,909	\$58,296			
Average High Salary									
2010	\$79,905	\$69,749	\$81,697	\$58,143	\$68,964	\$81,659			
2009	\$79,178	\$72,854	\$80,763	\$62,567	\$80,199	\$75,974			
2008	\$75,241	\$62,580	\$76,493	\$68,500	\$67,200	\$75,756			
2007	\$69,272	\$60,750	\$71,036	\$67,558	\$69,879	\$71,167			
* Schools indicating BOTH during the year and during the summer only were counted as									

## LRW Faculty Salary by Additional Courses Taught

during the year for this chart Question 75 by Question 85

## Faculty Salary by Scholarship Expectation

	Required to Produce Scholarship		Expected to Produce Scholarship		Encouraged to Produce Scholarship *		Neither Required Nor Expected to Produce Scholarship	
	N	Avg. Salary	N	Avg. Salary	N	Avg. Salary	N	Avg. Salary
Overall Average Salary 2010		\$87,725		\$82,623		\$73,923	*	*
Average Low Salary 2010	25	\$76,578	34	\$72,491	76	\$66,193		*
Average High Salary 2010		\$98,873		\$92,755		\$81,652		*
2009 avg. low 2009 avg. high	21	\$73,271 \$99,524	11	\$72,473 \$94,502	66	\$64,465 \$82,002	*	*
2008 avg. low 2008 avg. high	21	\$67,643 \$85,458	10	\$65,100 \$76,600	N/A	N/A N/A	63	\$55,876 \$66,975
2007 avg. low 2007 avg. high	18	\$70,200 \$85,633	8	\$62,333 \$74,250	28	\$53,214 \$67,043	59	\$54,321 \$61,595
* Question reworded for 2008 and following years.								

#### Faculty Salary by Committee Service

	Se	rve as a voting member	Serve as a non-voting member		Do not serve	
	N	Avg. Salary	N	Avg. Salary	N	Avg. Salary
Overall Average Salary 2010		\$74,025		\$54,063		\$62,450
Average Low Salary 2010	90	\$66,436	4	\$50,375	20	\$59,425
Average High Salary 2010		\$81,614		\$57,750		\$65,474
2009 avg. low 2009 avg. high	80	\$64,987 \$81,386	4	\$53,875 \$63,525	16	\$57,063 \$64,938
2008 avg. low 2008 avg. high	90	\$61,373 \$75,729	3	\$52,833 \$64,333	21	\$55,900 \$60,924
2007 avg. low 2007 avg. high	89	\$58,081 \$69,796	3	\$54,500 \$61,667	22	\$55,250 \$60,291

Appendix B B-23

## **Participation by School**

# Law Schools that responded in time for 2010 Survey Report (191 total)

Note: The first response was received March 3, 2010, and after extensions of the original deadline for completing the survey, the final included response was received April 21,  $2010.^4$ 

- 1. Albany Law School
- 2. Appalachian School of Law
- 3. Atlanta's John Marshall Law School
- 4. Ave Maria School of Law
- 5. Barry University School of Law
- 6. Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law
- 7. Boston College Law School
- 8. Boston University School of Law
- 9. Boyd School of Law, UNLV
- 10. Brigham Young University
- 11. Brooklyn Law School
- 12. California Western School of Law
- 13. Campbell University School of Law
- 14. Capital University Law School
- 15. Case Western Reserve University School of Law
- 16. Catholic University of America
- 17. Chapman University School of Law
- 18. Charleston School of Law
- 19. Charlotte School of Law
- 20. Chicago-Kent College of Law, Illinois Institute of Technology
- 21. Cleveland-Marshall College of Law

 $\bigcap$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> One additional school, the University of Cincinnati, responded too late for inclusion in the report.

- 22. Columbia Law School
- 23. Cornell
- 24. Creighton University School of Law
- 25. Cumberland
- 26. CUNY School of Law
- 27. DePaul University College of Law
- 28. Drake University
- 29. Drexel University College of Law
- 30. Duke University School of Law
- 31. Duquesne
- 32. Elon University School of Law
- 33. Emory University School of Law
- 34. Faulkner University Jones School of Law
- 35. Florida A&M University College of Law
- 36. Florida Coastal School of Law
- 37. Florida International University
- 38. Florida State University College of Law
- 39. Fordham Law School
- 40. Franklin Pierce Law Center
- 41. George Mason University School of Law
- 42. George Washington University Law School
- 43. Georgetown University Law Center
- 44. Georgia State University
- 45. Golden Gate University School of Law
- 46. Gonzaga University School of Law
- 47. Hamline
- 48. Harvard Law School
- 49. Hastings College of the Law
- 50. Hofstra
- 51. Howard University School of Law
- 52. Indiana University Maurer School of Law
- 53. Indiana University School of Law Indianapolis
- 54. John Marshall Law School

- 55. Kentucky College of Law
- 56. Lewis and Clark
- 57. Liberty University
- 58. Louisiana State University
- 59. Loyola Law School, Los Angeles
- 60. Loyola University Chicago School of Law
- 61. Loyola University New Orleans School of Law
- 62. Marquette University Law School
- 63. Massachusetts School of Law
- 64. Mercer University School of Law
- 65. Michigan State University College of Law
- 66. Mississippi College School of Law
- 67. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University
- 68. New England School of Law
- 69. New York Law School
- 70. NKU Salmon P. Chase College of Law
- 71. North Carolina Central University School of Law
- 72. Northeastern University School of Law
- 73. Northern Illinois University College of Law
- 74. Northwestern University School of Law
- 75. Notre Dame Law School
- 76. Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad Law Center
- 77. NYU School of Law
- 78. Oklahoma City University School of Law
- 79. Pace Law School
- 80. Pacific/McGeorge School of Law
- 81. Penn State Dickinson School of Law
- 82. Quinnipiac University School of Law
- 83. Regent U. School of Law
- 84. Roger Williams University School of Law
- 85. Rutgers School of Law Camden
- 86. Rutgers School of Law-Newark

- 87. S. J. Quinney College of Law at the University of Utah
- 88. Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law at Arizona State University
- 89. Santa Clara University
- 90. Seattle University School of law
- 91. SMU Dedman School of Law
- 92. South Texas College of Law
- 93. Southern Illinois University School of Law
- 94. Southern University Law Center
- 95. Southwestern Law School
- 96. St John's University School of Law
- 97. St. Louis University School of Law
- 98. St. Mary's University School of Law
- 99. St. Thomas University School of Law (Miami)
- 100. Stanford Law School
- 101. Stetson University College of Law
- 102. Suffolk University Law School
- 103. SUNY at Buffalo
- 104. Syracuse University College of Law
- 105. Temple University
- 106. Texas Tech University School of Law
- 107. Texas Wesleyan
- 108. Thomas Cooley Law School
- 109. Thomas Jefferson School of Law
- 110. Thurgood Marshall School of Law
- 111. Touro
- 112. Tulane Law School
- 113. University of Akron
- 114. University of Alabama School of Law
- 115. University of Arizona James E. Rogers College of Law
- 116. University of Arkansas

- 117. University of Arkansas at Little Rock, William H. Bowen School of Law
- 118. University of Baltimore
- 119. University of California at Davis
- 120. University of California, Berkeley School of Law
- 121. University of Chicago
- 122. University of Cincinnati
- 123. University of Colorado
- 124. University of Connecticut
- 125. University of Dayton School of Law
- 126. University of Denver Sturm College of Law
- 127. University of Detroit Mercy School of Law
- 128. University of Florida Levin College of Law
- 129. University of Houston Law Center
- 130. University of Idaho College of Law
- 131. University of Illinois College of Law
- 132. University of Iowa College of Law
- 133. University of Kansas
- 134. University of La Verne College of Law
- 135. University of Louisville
- 136. University of Maine School of Law
- 137. University of Maryland School of Law
- 138. University of Massachusetts School of Law -Dartmouth
- 139. University of Memphis
- 140. University of Miami
- 141. University of Michigan
- 142. university of Minnesota law school
- 143. University of Mississippi
- 144. University of Missouri
- 145. University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law
- 146. University of Montana
- 147. University of Nebraska College of Law
- 148. University of New Mexico School of Law

- 149. University of North Carolina School of Law
- 150. University of North Dakota
- 151. University of Oklahoma
- 152. University of Oregon School of Law
- 153. University of Pennsylvania Law School
- 154. University of Pittsburgh
- 155. University of Richmond School of Law
- 156. University of San Diego
- 157. University of San Francisco
- 158. University of South Carolina
- 159. University of South Dakota School of Law
- 160. University of Southern California
- 161. University of St. Thomas--Minnesota
- 162. University of Tennessee
- 163. University of Texas School of Law
- 164. University of the District of Columbia Law School
- 165. University of Toledo College of Law
- 166. University of Tulsa College of Law
- 167. University of Virginia
- 168. University of Washington School of Law
- 169. University of Windsor
- 170. University of Wisconsin Law School
- 171. University of Wyoming
- 172. Valparaiso University School of Law
- 173. Vanderbilt
- 174. Vermont Law School
- 175. Villanova University School of Law
- 176. Wake Forest University School of Law
- 177. Washburn University School of Law
- 178. Washington & Lee Law School
- 179. Washington University School of Law
- 180. Wayne State University Law School
- 181. West Virginia University College of Law

- 182. Western New England College School of Law
- 183. Western State University College of Law
- 184. Whittier Law School
- 185. Widener University School of Law, Harrisburg
- 186. Widener University School of Law, Wilmington
- 187. Willamette University College of Law
- 188. William & Mary Law School
- 189. William Mitchell College of Law
- 190. Wm S Richardson School of Law
- 191. Yale Law School

C-7